

Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification” Exercise sheet 4

Exercise 4.1: (2 P)

A propositional Horn clause is a clause which has at most one positive literal.

(*Example:* $\neg P \vee Q \vee \neg R$, $\neg P \vee \neg R$ and Q are Horn clauses,
whereas $\neg P \vee Q \vee R$ and $Q \vee R$ are not Horn clauses.)

Prove: Every set H of clauses with the following properties:

- (i) H consists only of Horn clauses;
- (ii) Every clause in H contains at least one negative literal;

is satisfiable.

Exercise 4.2: (2 P)

Let H be a set of Horn clauses. The size of H is the number of all literals which occur in H . Prove that the resolution calculus $\text{Res}_{\zeta}^{\sim}$ can check the satisfiability of H in polynomial time in the size of H . (Find the ordering/selection function for which this is possible.)

Exercise 4.3: (2 P)

Let N be a set of clauses in propositional logic with the property that each clause consists of two literals. Prove that the satisfiability of N can be checked in polynomial time in the size of N .

(*Hint (a possible solution):*

- How many clauses consisting of two literals (over a finite set of propositional variables $\Pi = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$) exist?
- Analyze the form of possible resolution inferences from N .
- Let N be a set of clauses in propositional logic with the property that each clause consists of two literals. Show that
 - If N is satisfiable then we cannot generate from N , using the resolution calculus, both $P \vee P$ and $\neg P \vee \neg P$ for some propositional variable P .
 - If we cannot generate from N , using the resolution calculus, both $P \vee P$ and $\neg P \vee \neg P$ for some propositional variable P then N is satisfiable.
- Show that the number of inferences by resolution from N which yield different clauses is polynomial in the size of N and in the size of Π . Infer that the satisfiability of N can be checked in polynomial time in the size of N .)

Exercise 4.4: (2 P)

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature, where $\Omega = \{f/2, g/1, a/0, b/0\}$ and $\Pi = \{p/2\}$; let X be the set of variables $\{x, y, z\}$. Which of the following expressions are terms over Σ and X , which are atoms/literals/clauses/formulae, which are neither?

- (a) $\neg p(g(a), f(x, y))$
- (b) $f(x, x) \approx x$
- (c) $p(f(x, a), x) \vee p(a, b)$
- (d) $p(\neg g(x), g(y))$
- (e) $\neg p(f(x, y))$
- (f) $p(a, b) \wedge p(x, y) \wedge y$
- (g) $\exists y(\neg p(f(y, y), y))$
- (h) $\forall x \forall y (g(p(x, y)) \approx g(x))$

Exercise 4.5: (2 P)

Compute the results of the following substitutions:

- (a) $f(g(x), x)[g(a)/x]$
- (b) $p(f(y, x), g(x))[x/y]$
- (c) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), g(y)))[x/y]$
- (d) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), x))[y/x]$
- (e) $\forall y(p(f(z, g(y)), g(x)) \vee \exists z(g(z) \approx y))[g(b)/z]$
- (f) $\exists y(f(x, y) \approx x \rightarrow \forall x(f(x, y) \approx x))[g(y)/y, g(z)/x]$

Please submit your solution until Friday, November 11, 2011 at 17:00 by e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.

Joint solutions prepared by up to two persons are allowed.

Please do not forget to write your name on your solution!