

### Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification” Exercise sheet 5

#### Exercise 5.1: (4 P)

Prove or refute the following statements:

- (a) If  $F$  is a first-order formula, then  $F$  is valid if and only if  $F \rightarrow \perp$  is unsatisfiable.
- (b) If  $F$  and  $G$  are first-order formulae,  $F$  is valid, and  $F \rightarrow G$  is valid, then  $G$  is valid.
- (c) If  $F$  and  $G$  are first-order formulae,  $F$  is satisfiable, and  $F \rightarrow G$  is satisfiable, then  $G$  is satisfiable.
- (d) If  $F$  is a first-order formula and  $x$  a variable, then  $F$  is unsatisfiable if and only if  $\exists x F$  is unsatisfiable.
- (e) If  $F$  and  $G$  are first-order formulae and  $x$  is a variable then  $\forall x(F \wedge G) \models \forall x F \wedge \forall x G$  and  $\forall x F \wedge \forall x G \models \forall x(F \wedge G)$ .
- (f) If  $F$  and  $G$  are first-order formulae and  $x$  is a variable then  $\exists x(F \wedge G) \models \exists x F \wedge \exists x G$  and  $\exists x F \wedge \exists x G \models \exists x(F \wedge G)$ .

#### Exercise 5.2: (3 P)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ , where  $\Omega = \{0/0, s/1, +/2\}$  and  $\Pi = \emptyset$  (i.e. the only predicate symbol is  $\approx$ ). Consider the following formulae in the signature  $\Sigma$ :

1.  $F_1 = \forall x (x + 0 \approx x)$
2.  $F_2 = \forall x, y (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$
3.  $F_3 = \forall x, y (x + y \approx y + x)$ .

Find a  $\Sigma$ -structure in which  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are valid but  $F_3$  is not.

#### Exercise 5.3: (3 P)

What is the clausal normal form of

$$\exists x \forall y (\forall z (p(y, z) \vee \neg x \approx y) \rightarrow (\forall z q(y, z) \wedge \neg r(x, y)))$$

**Supplementary exercise.**

**Exercise 5.4:** (3 P)

Let  $F$  be a closed first-order formula with equality over a signature  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ . Let  $\sim \notin \Omega$  be a new binary relation symbol (written as an infix operator). Let the set  $Eq(\Sigma)$  contain the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x (x \sim x) \\ & \forall x, y (x \sim y \rightarrow y \sim x) \\ & \forall x, y, z (x \sim y \wedge y \sim z \rightarrow x \sim z) \end{aligned}$$

and for every  $f/n \in \Omega$  the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim f(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

and for every  $p/n \in \Pi$  the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \wedge p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow p(y_1, \dots, y_n)).$$

Let  $\tilde{F}$  be the formula that one obtains from  $F$  if every occurrence of the equality symbol  $\approx$  is replaced by the relation symbol  $\sim$ .

- (a) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a model of  $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$ . Show that the interpretation  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\sim$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is a congruence relation. (It is enough if you prove *one* of the properties of congruence relations, say symmetry; the other properties are proved analogously.)
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a model of  $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$ . Use the congruence relation  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$  to construct a model of  $F$  and prove that it is a model.
- (c) Prove that a formula  $F$  is satisfiable if and only if  $Eq(\Sigma) \cup \{\tilde{F}\}$  is satisfiable.

Please submit your solution until Friday, November 18, 2011 at 17:00 by e-mail to [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de) with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.

Joint solutions prepared by up to two persons are allowed.  
Please do not forget to write your name on your solution!