

### Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification” Exercise sheet 8

#### Exercise 8.1: (2 P)

Let  $\phi$  be the following (ground) formula:

$$f(f(c)) \approx f(c) \wedge f(f(c)) \approx f(d) \wedge d \not\approx f(c).$$

- Compute  $FLAT(\phi)$  (the formula obtained by recursively replacing, in a bottom-up fashion, any term of the form  $f(c')$ , where  $c'$  is a constant, with a new constant).
- Compute  $FC(\phi)$  (the set of functional consistency axioms associated with the flattening above):

$$FC(\phi) = \{c_1 \approx c_2 \rightarrow d_1 \approx d_2 \mid d_i \text{ is introduced as an abbreviation for } f(c_i)\}.$$

#### Exercise 8.2: (6 P)

Check the satisfiability of the following ground formulae using the algorithm based on congruence closure presented in the lecture.

- (1)  $\phi_1 = f(f(c)) \approx f(c) \wedge f(f(c)) \approx f(d) \wedge d \not\approx f(c).$
- (2)  $\phi_2 = h(c, e) \approx d \wedge g(d) \approx e \wedge g(h(c, g(d))) \not\approx e.$

#### Exercise 8.3: (2 P)

Find the definitions for the following fragments of first-order logic in the slides of the lecture:

- The Bernays-Schönfinkel class;
- The Ackermann class.

To which of these classes do the following formulae belong (note that they can be in more than one, or in none of the classes above):

- (1)  $\exists y \forall x ((p(x) \vee r(x, y)) \wedge q(y))$
- (2)  $\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists u ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge (q(y) \vee p(u)))$
- (3)  $\exists y \forall x \exists z ((r(x, y) \vee r(y, z)) \wedge q(z) \wedge r(y, z))$
- (4)  $\exists z \forall x \forall y \exists z' ((r(x, y) \vee r(y, z)) \wedge s(z, y, z'))$

**Supplementary exercises:**

**Exercise 8.4:** (3 P)

Prove the  $\Rightarrow$  part in the correctness proof of the algorithm for checking the validity of a conjunction of literals in UIF, under the assumption that an algorithm for computing the congruence closure of a set  $R$  of pairs of vertices in a graph  $G$  exists.

Let  $\phi := \bigwedge_{i=1}^n s_i \approx t_i \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^m s'_j \not\approx t'_j$  be a ground formula. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be the labelled directed graph constructed from  $\phi$  as in the description of the congruence closure algorithm based on Union/Find. Let  $R = \{(v_{s_i}, v_{t_i}) \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ , and let  $R^c$  be the congruence closure of  $R$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -structure such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$ . Prove that  $[v_s]_{R^c} = [v_t]_{R^c}$  implies that  $\mathcal{A} \models s = t$ .
- (2) Assume that  $\phi$  is satisfiable. Prove that  $[v_{s'_j}]_{R^c} \neq [v_{t'_j}]_{R^c}$ .

*Hint:* Use the fact that if  $[v_s]_{R^c} = [v_t]_{R^c}$  then there is a derivation for  $(v_s, v_t) \in R^c$  in the calculus defined before; use induction on the length of derivation to show that  $\mathcal{A} \models s = t$ .

**Exercise 8.5:** (7 P)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature and let  $X$  be a set of variables. Let  $I$  be an index set. For every  $i \in I$  let  $\mathcal{A}_i = (U_i, \{f_{\mathcal{A}_i}\}_{f \in \Omega}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}_i}\}_{p \in \Pi})$  be a  $\Sigma$ -algebra. The product of the family of  $\Sigma$ -algebras  $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i \in I}$  is the algebra  $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i = (U, \{f_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i}\}_{f \in \Omega}, \{p_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i}\}_{p \in \Pi})$ , where:

- $U = \prod_{i \in I} U_i$ ;
- for every  $f/n \in \Omega$ ,  $f_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i} : U^n \rightarrow U$  is defined component-wise, i.e. for every tuple  $((a_i^1)_{i \in I}, \dots, (a_i^n)_{i \in I}) \in U^n$ ,  $f_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i}((a_i^1)_{i \in I}, \dots, (a_i^n)_{i \in I}) = (f_{\mathcal{A}_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n))_{i \in I}$ ;
- for every  $p/m \in \Pi$ ,  $p_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i} \subseteq U^m$  is defined by:

$$((a_i^1)_{i \in I}, \dots, (a_i^m)_{i \in I}) \in p_{\prod \mathcal{A}_i} \text{ iff } (a_i^1, \dots, a_i^m) \in p_{\mathcal{A}_i} \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

- (1) Let  $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a conjunction of atomic formulae. Assume that for every  $i \in I$  there exists a valuation  $\beta_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_i$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}_i, \beta_i) \models F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Let  $\beta : X \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$  be defined by  $\beta(x) = (\beta_i(x))_{i \in I}$ . Prove that  $(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i, \beta) \models F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
- (2) Assume that  $I$  is finite and let  $\{A_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i}) \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of atomic formulae with the property that  $(\mathcal{A}_i, \beta_i) \not\models A_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$ . Let  $\beta : X \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i$  be defined by  $\beta(x) = (\beta_i(x))_{i \in I}$ . Prove that:  $(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i, \beta) \not\models \bigvee_{i \in I} A_i(x_1, \dots, x_{n_i})$ .

Please submit your solution until Friday, December 9, 2011 at 17:00 by e-mail to [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de) with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.

Joint solutions prepared by up to two persons are allowed.  
Please do not forget to write your name on your solution!