Universität Koblenz-Landau

FB 4 Informatik

Prof. Dr. Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

January 9, 2014

Exercises for "Decision Procedures for Verification"
Exercise sheet 10

Exercise 10.1: (*4 P*)
Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the combination of $LI(\mathbb{Z})$ (linear arithmetic over $\mathbb{Z}$) and UIF_Σ, the theory of uninterpreted function symbols in the signature $\Sigma = \{\{f/1, g/2\}, \emptyset\}$.
Check the satisfiability of the following ground formula w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$ using the "guessing" version of the Nelson-Oppen procedure:

(1) $\phi_1 = (c + d \approx e \wedge f(e) \approx c + d \wedge f(f(c + d)) \not\approx e)$.
(2) $\phi_2 = (f(c) > 0 \wedge f(d) > 0 \wedge f(c) + f(d) \approx e \wedge g(c, e) \not\approx g(d, e))$

Exercise 10.2: (*4 P*)
Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature, and let $\Pi_0 \subseteq \Pi \cup \{\approx\}$.

> We say that a theory $\mathcal{T}$ is Π_0-*convex* if for all atomic formulae $A_1(\overline{x}), \ldots, A_n(\overline{x})$, and all atomic formulae $B_1(\overline{x}), \ldots, B_k(\overline{x})$ which start with predicate symbols in Π_0:
>
> If $\mathcal{T} \models (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_i(\overline{x})) \rightarrow (\bigvee_{j=1}^{k} B_j(\overline{x}))$ then there exists $1 \leq j \leq k$ s.t. $\mathcal{T} \models (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_i(\overline{x})) \rightarrow B_j(\overline{x})$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z}$ be the theory of integers having as signature $\Sigma_\mathbb{Z} = (\Omega, \Pi)$, where $\Omega = \{\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\ldots, -3\cdot, -2\cdot, 2\cdot, 3\cdot, \ldots\} \cup \{+, -\}$ and $\Pi = \{\leq\}$, where:

- $\ldots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ are constants (intended to represent the integers)
- $\ldots, -3\cdot, -2\cdot, 2\cdot, 3\cdot, \ldots$ are unary functions (representing multiplication with constants)
- $+, -$ are binary functions (usual addition/subtraction)
- $\leq$ is a binary predicate.

The intended interpretation of $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z}$ has domain $\mathbb{Z}$, and the function and predicate symbols are interpreted in the obvious way.

Show that:

- $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z} \models [(1 \leq z \wedge z \leq 2 \wedge u \approx 1 \wedge v \approx 2) \rightarrow (z \approx u \vee z \approx v)]$
- $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z} \not\models [(1 \leq z \wedge z \leq 2 \wedge u \approx 1 \wedge v \approx 2) \rightarrow z \approx u]$
- $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z} \not\models [(1 \leq z \wedge z \leq 2 \wedge u \approx 1 \wedge v \approx 2) \rightarrow z \approx v]$

Is $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z}$ $\{\approx\}$-convex? Is $\mathcal{T}_\mathbb{Z}$ $\{\leq\}$-convex?

Supplementary exercise.

Exercise 10.3: (*6 P*)
Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be two theories with signatures Σ_1, Σ_2. Assume that Σ_1 and Σ_2 share only constants and the equality predicate. Let ϕ be a ground formula over the signature $(\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2)^c = (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup C, \Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2)$ (the extension of the union $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$ with a countably infinite set C of constants). The *purification* step in the Nelson-Oppen decision procedure for satisfiability of ground formulae in the combination of $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ can be described as follows:

(Step 1) Purify all terms by replacing, in a bottom-up manner, the "alien" subterms in ϕ (i.e. terms starting with a function symbol in Σ_i occurring as arguments of a function symbol in Σ_j, $j \neq i$) with new constants (from a countably infinite set C of constants). The transformations are schematically represented as follows:

$$(\neg)P(\dots, g(..., f(t_1, \dots, t_n), ...), \dots) \quad \mapsto \quad (\neg)P(\dots, g(..., u, ...), \dots) \wedge u \approx t$$

where $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n), f \in \Sigma_1, g \in \Sigma_2$ (or vice versa).

(Step 2) Purify mixed equalities and inequalities by adding additional constants and performing the following transformations (where $f \in \Sigma_1$ and $g \in \Sigma_2$ or vice versa):

$$\begin{array}{lcl} f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_m) & \mapsto & u \approx f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \wedge u \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \\ f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \not\approx g(t_1, \dots, t_m) & \mapsto & u \approx f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \wedge v \approx g(t_1, \dots, t_m) \wedge u \not\approx v \end{array}$$

(Step 3) Purify mixed literals by renaming alien terms:

$$(\neg)P(\dots, s_i, \dots) \quad \mapsto \quad (\neg)P(\dots, u, \dots) \wedge u \approx s_i$$

if P is a predicate symbol in Σ_1 and s_i is a Σ_2^c-term (or vice versa).

After purification we obtain a conjunction $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$, with ϕ_i ground Σ_i^c-formula. Prove that:

- ϕ is satisfiable w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ if and only if $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$ is satisfiable w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$.
- If ϕ is satisfiable w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_1 \cup \mathcal{T}_2$ then ϕ_i is satisfiable w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Please submit your solution until Monday, January 13, 2014 at 16:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to `sofronie@uni-koblenz.de` with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.