## Universität Koblenz-Landau FB 4 Informatik

Prof. Dr. Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

November 14, 2013

## Exercises for "Decision Procedures for Verification" Exercise sheet 4

**Exercise 4.1:** (2 P) Assume  $P \succ Q \succ R$ . Let N be the following set of clauses:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1) & \neg R \lor P \\ (2) & \neg Q \lor \neg P \\ (3) & Q \\ (4) & R \lor P \end{array}$$

Let S be the selection function which selects  $\neg R$  in clause (1) and  $\neg Q$  in clause (2).

Use the ordered resolution calculus with selection  $\operatorname{\mathsf{Res}}_S^{\succ}$  described in the lecture for checking the satisfiability of the set N of clauses.

**Exercise 4.2:** (2 P) Assume  $S \succ P \succ Q \succ R$ . Let N be the following set of clauses:

(1)	$\neg Q \vee \neg P$
(2)	$R \vee P$
(3)	$Q \vee S$
(4)	$\neg Q \vee \neg S$

- (a) Define a selection function S such that this set of clauses is saturated w.r.t. the ordered resolution calculus with selection  $\text{Res}_S^{\succ}$ . Justify your choice.
- (b) Sort the clauses according to  $\succ_C$ .
- (c) Construct a model of N using the canonical construction presented in the lecture.

## **Exercise 4.3:** (2 P)

Compute the results of the following substitutions:

(a)	f(g(x), x)[g(a)/x]	(c)	orall y(p(f(y,x),x))[y/x]
(b)	p(f(y,x),g(x))[x/y]	(d)	$\forall y (p(f(z,g(y)),g(x)) \lor \exists z (g(z) \approx y)) [g(b)/z]$
(c)	$\forall y (p(f(y,x),g(y)))[x/y]$	(e)	$\exists y \big( f(x,y) \approx x \to \forall x (f(x,y) \approx x) \big) [g(y)/y, g(z)/x]$

**Exercise 4.4:** (3 P) **Reminder:** A formula F is valid in a  $\Sigma$ -algebra ( $\Sigma$ -structure)  $\mathcal{A}$  under assignment  $\beta$  (Notation:  $\mathcal{A}, \beta \models F$ ) if  $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(F) = 1$ . F is valid in  $\mathcal{A}$  (Notation:  $\mathcal{A} \models F$ ) iff  $\mathcal{A}, \beta \models F$ , for all  $\beta \in X \to U_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Let  $\Sigma = \{0, s, +\}$ . Consider the following formulae in the signature  $\Sigma$ :

- 1.  $F_1 = \forall x \ (x + 0 \approx x)$
- 2.  $F_2 = \forall x, y \ (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$
- 3.  $F_3 = \forall x, y \ (x + y \approx y + x).$

Find a  $\Sigma$ -structure in which  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are valid but  $F_3$  is not.

**Exercise 4.5:** (2 P) What is the clausal normal form of

$$\exists x \,\forall y \,(\forall z \,(p(y,z) \vee \neg \, x \approx y) \to (\forall z \,q(y,z) \wedge \neg \, r(x,y)))$$

Supplementary exercise (will be discussed in the exercise session)

## **Exercise 4.6:** (5 P)

Let H be a set of propositional Horn clauses. The size of H is the number of all literals which occur in H.

Prove that the resolution calculus  $\operatorname{\mathsf{Res}}_S^{\succ}$  (or the marking algorithm discussed in the lecture "Logik für Informatiker") can check the satisfiability of H in time polynomial in the size of H.

Can you give an algorithm for check the satisfiability of H in time linear in the size of H?

Please submit your solution until Monday, November 18, 2013 at 16:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.