

Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification”

Exercise sheet 4

**Exercise 4.1:** (2 P)

A propositional Horn clause is a clause which has at most one positive literal.

(*Example:*  $\neg P \vee Q \vee \neg R$ ,  $\neg P \vee \neg R$  and  $Q$  are Horn clauses,  
whereas  $\neg P \vee Q \vee R$  and  $Q \vee R$  are not Horn clauses.)

Prove: Every set  $H$  of clauses with the following properties:

- (i)  $H$  consists only of Horn clauses;
- (ii) Every clause in  $H$  contains at least one negative literal;

is satisfiable.

**Exercise 4.2:** (5 P)

Let  $H$  be a set of propositional Horn clauses. The size of  $H$  is the number of all literals which occur in  $H$ . Prove that the resolution calculus  $\text{Res}_S^>$  (or the marking algorithm discussed in the lecture “Logik für Informatiker”) can check the satisfiability of  $H$  in time polynomial in the size of  $H$ .

**Supplementary question:** Can you give an algorithm for check the satisfiability of  $H$  in time linear in the size of  $H$ ?

**Exercise 4.3:** (L P)

Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a signature, where  $\Omega = \{f/3, g/1, a/0, b/0\}$  and  $\Pi = \{p/2\}$ ; let  $X$  be the set of variables  $\{x, y, z\}$ . Which of the following expressions are terms over  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ , which are atoms/literals/clauses/formulae (in first-order logic with equality), which are neither?

- (a)  $\neg p(g(a), f(x, y, g(a)))$
- (b)  $f(x, x, x) \approx x$
- (c)  $p(f(x, x, a), x) \vee p(a, b)$
- (d)  $p(\neg g(x), g(y))$
- (e)  $\neg p(f(x, y, y))$
- (f)  $\neg p(f(x, y), y) \vee p(x, y)$
- (g)  $p(a, b) \wedge p(x, y) \wedge y$
- (h)  $\exists y(\neg p(f(y, y, y), y))$
- (i)  $\forall x \forall y(f(p(x, y), x, x) \approx g(x))$

**Exercise 4.4:** (L P)

et  $\Sigma = (S, \Omega, \Pi)$  be a many-sorted signature, where  $S = \{\text{int}, \text{list}\}$ ,  $\Omega = \{\text{cons}, \text{car}, \text{cdr}, \text{nil}, b\}$  and  $\Pi = \{p\}$  with the following arities:

$$\begin{aligned} a(\text{cons}) &= \text{int}, \text{list} \rightarrow \text{list} & a(\text{car}) &= \text{list} \rightarrow \text{int} & a(\text{cdr}) &= \text{list} \rightarrow \text{list} \\ a(\text{nil}) &= \rightarrow \text{list} & & & & \text{(i.e. nil is a constant of sort list)} \\ a(b) &= \rightarrow \text{int} & & & & \text{(i.e. } b \text{ is a constant of sort int)} \\ a(p) &= \text{int}, \text{list}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X_{\text{int}}$  be the set of variables of sort  $\text{int}$  containing  $\{i, j, k\}$ , and let  $X_{\text{list}}$  be the set of variables of sort  $\text{list}$  containing  $\{x, y, z\}$ . Let  $X = \{X_{\text{int}}, X_{\text{list}}\}$ . Which of the following expressions are terms over  $\Sigma$  and  $X$ , which are atoms/literals/clauses/formulae<sup>1</sup>, which are neither?

- (a)  $\text{cons}(\text{cons}(b, \text{nil}), \text{nil})$
- (b)  $\text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))$
- (c)  $\neg p(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})))$
- (d)  $\neg p(\text{cons}(b, \text{nil}), \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})))$
- (e)  $\text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \approx_l \text{cons}(\text{cons}(x, b), \text{nil})$
- (f)  $\text{cons}(i, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \approx j$
- (g)  $p(\neg \text{car}(x), x)$
- (h)  $\neg p(\text{car}(x), x) \vee p(j, \text{cons}(j, x))$
- (i)  $\neg p(b, x) \vee p(b, \text{cons}(b, x)) \vee b$
- (j)  $\forall i : \text{int}, \forall x : \text{list} (\text{cons}(\text{car}(x), \text{cdr}(x)) \approx_l x)$
- (k)  $\exists i : \text{int}, \forall y : \text{list} (\text{cons}(b, p(x, y)) \approx_l \text{cdr}(y))$

**Supplementary exercise** (will be discussed in the exercise session)

**Exercise 4.5:** (5 P)

Let  $N$  be a set of clauses in propositional logic with the property that each clause consists of two literals. Prove that the satisfiability of  $N$  can be checked in polynomial time in the size of  $N$ .

*Hint (way to a possible solution):*

- How many clauses consisting of two literals (over a *finite* set of propositional variables  $\Pi = \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ ) exist?
- Analyze the form of possible resolution inferences from  $N$ .
- Let  $N$  be a set of clauses in propositional logic with the property that each clause consists of two literals. Show that
  - If  $N$  is satisfiable then we cannot generate from  $N$ , using the resolution calculus, both  $P \vee P$  and  $\neg P \vee \neg P$  for some propositional variable  $P$ .
  - If we cannot generate from  $N$ , using the resolution calculus, both  $P \vee P$  and  $\neg P \vee \neg P$  for some propositional variable  $P$  then  $N$  is satisfiable.
- Show that the number of inferences by resolution from  $N$  which yield different clauses is polynomial in the size of  $N$  and in the size of  $\Pi$ . Infer that the satisfiability of  $N$  can be checked in polynomial time in the size of  $N$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>In first-order logic with equality, where equality between terms of sort  $\text{int}$  is  $\approx_i$  and equality between terms of sort  $\text{list}$  is  $\approx_l$ .

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, November 26, 2014 at 13:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de) with the keyword “Homework DP” in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.