

Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification”
Exercise sheet 5

Exercise 5.1: (3 P)

Let $\Sigma = \{0, s, +\}$. Consider the following formulae in the signature Σ :

1. $F_1 = \forall x (x + 0 \approx x)$
2. $F_2 = \forall x, y (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$
3. $F_3 = \forall x, y (x + y \approx y + x)$.

Find a Σ -structure in which F_1 and F_2 are valid but F_3 is not.

Exercise 5.2: (2 P)

Compute a clausal normal form for the following formula:

$$\exists x \forall y (\forall z (p(y, z) \vee \neg x \approx y) \rightarrow (\forall z q(y, z) \wedge \neg r(x, y)))$$

Exercise 5.3: (3 P)

Consider the following formulae:

- $F_1 := \forall x (S(x) \rightarrow \exists y (R(x, y) \wedge P(y)))$
- $F_2 := \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
- $F_3 := \exists x S(x)$
- $G := \exists x \exists y (R(x, y) \wedge Q(y))$

Use the resolution calculus to prove that $\{F_1, F_2, F_3\} \models G$.

Supplementary exercise

Exercise 5.4: (4 P)

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature and X a set of variables. Let \mathcal{A} be a Σ -structure and $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$ a variable assignment.

- (1) Prove that for every formula $F \in F_{\Sigma}(X)$ and every $x \in X$, the truth values $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\forall x F)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\exists x F)$ do not depend on $\beta(x)$.

- (2) Use (1) to show that if G is a closed formula in $F_{\Sigma}(X)$, then the truth value of G in \mathcal{A} w.r.t. β , $\mathcal{A}(\beta)(G)$ does not depend on the way β is defined.
- (3) Use (2) to prove that $\text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\mathcal{F})) = \{G \in F_{\Sigma}(X) \text{ closed} \mid \mathcal{F} \models G\}$.

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, December 3, 2014 at 13:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to `sofronie@uni-koblenz.de` with the keyword “Homework DP” in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.