

Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification”

Exercise sheet 6

Exercise 6.1: (4 P)

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ with $\Omega = \{b/0, f/1\}$ and $\Pi = \{p/1\}$.

- (a) Which is the universe of the Herbrand interpretations over this signature?
If \mathcal{A} is a Herbrand interpretation over Σ how are $b_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined?
- (b) How many different Herbrand interpretations over Σ do exist? Explain briefly.
- (c) How many different Herbrand models over Σ does the formula:

$$p(f(f(b))) \wedge \forall x(p(x) \rightarrow p(f(x))) \tag{1}$$

have? Explain briefly.

- (d) Every Herbrand model over Σ of (1) is also a model of

$$\forall x p(f(f(x))) \tag{2}$$

Give an example of an algebra that is a model of (1) but not of (2).

Exercise 6.2: (1 P)

Which of the following formulae is in the Bernays-Schönfinkel class?

- (1) $\exists y \forall x \exists z ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge (p(z) \vee \neg q(y)))$
- (2) $\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists u ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge (q(y) \vee r(u, x)))$
- (3) $\exists y \exists z \forall x [(p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge q(z)]$

Exercise 6.3: (2 P)

Compute a most general unifier of

$$\{ f(x, g(x)) = y, h(y) = h(v), v = f(g(z), w) \}$$

using the method presented in the lecture.

Exercise 6.4: (3 P)

Let \succ be a total and well-founded ordering on ground atoms such that, if the atom A contains more symbols than B , then $A \succ B$. Let N be the following set of clauses:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg q(z, z) \\
& \neg q(f(x), y) \vee q(f(f(x)), y) \vee p(x) \\
& \neg p(a) \vee \neg p(f(a)) \vee q(f(a), f(f(a))) \\
& p(f(x)) \vee p(g(y)) \\
& \neg p(g(a)) \vee p(f(f(a)))
\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Which literals are maximal in the clauses of N ?
(b) Define a selection function S such that N is saturated under $Res_{\mathcal{G}}^{\checkmark}$. Justify your choice.

Remark: You will be able to solve this exercise only after the lecture from 9.12.2014.

Supplementary exercise

Exercise 6.5: (3 P)

Let F be a closed first-order formula with equality over a signature $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$. Let $\sim \notin \Omega$ be a new binary relation symbol (written as an infix operator). Let the set $Eq(\Sigma)$ contain the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall x (x \sim x) \\
& \forall x, y (x \sim y \rightarrow y \sim x) \\
& \forall x, y, z (x \sim y \wedge y \sim z \rightarrow x \sim z)
\end{aligned}$$

and for every $f/n \in \Omega$ the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim f(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

and for every $p/n \in \Pi$ the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \wedge p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow p(y_1, \dots, y_n)).$$

Let \tilde{F} be the formula that one obtains from F if every occurrence of the equality symbol \approx is replaced by the relation symbol \sim .

- (a) *Definition.* A binary relation \sim on the support of a Σ -algebra satisfying all properties in $Eq(\Sigma)$ is called a congruence relation.

Let \mathcal{A} be a model of $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$. Show that the interpretation $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \sim in \mathcal{A} is a congruence relation. (It is enough if you prove *one* of the properties of congruence relations, say symmetry; the other properties are proved analogously.)

- (b) Let \mathcal{A} be a model of $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$. Use the congruence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ to construct a model of F and prove that it is a model.
(c) Prove that a formula F is satisfiable if and only if $Eq(\Sigma) \cup \{\tilde{F}\}$ is satisfiable.

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, December 10, 2014 at 13:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.