

Exercises for “Decision Procedures for Verification”

Exercise sheet 7

Exercise 7.1: (2 P)

Redundant clauses remain redundant, if the theorem prover deletes redundant clauses. Prove: If N and M are sets of clauses and $M \subseteq \text{Red}(N)$, then $\text{Red}(N) \subseteq \text{Red}(N \setminus M)$.

Exercise 7.2: (5 P)

Assume $S \succ P \succ Q \succ R$. Let N be the following set of clauses:

- (1) $\neg Q \vee P \vee R$
- (2) $\neg R \vee P$
- (3) $Q \vee S \vee \neg P$
- (4) $\neg Q \vee \neg S$

Give the definition of redundancy of a clause w.r.t. a set of clauses.

Is the clause $\neg Q \vee P \vee S$ redundant w.r.t. the set N above?

Is the clause $\neg Q \vee P$ redundant w.r.t. the set N above? Justify your answer.

Assume $U \succ S \succ P \succ Q \succ R$. Let N be the following set of clauses:

- (1) $\neg Q \vee P \vee R$
- (2) $\neg R \vee P$
- (3) $\neg Q \vee P \vee S$
- (4) $Q \vee S \vee \neg P$
- (5) $\neg Q \vee \neg S$

Is the clause $\neg Q \vee P \vee S \vee U$ redundant w.r.t. the set consisting of the clauses (1), (2), (4) and (5)? Justify your answer.

Exercise 7.3: (2 P)

To which of the classes discussed in the lecture (the Bernays-Schönfinkel class, the Ackermann class or the monadic class) do the following formulae belong:

- (1) $\exists y \forall x ((p(x) \vee r(x, y)) \wedge q(y))$
- (2) $\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists u ((p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge (q(y) \vee p(u)))$
- (3) $\exists z \forall x \exists y (p(x) \vee q(y)) \wedge q(z)$
- (4) $\exists x \forall y (P(x) \vee R(y)) \wedge Q(y)$

Note that they can be in more than one, or in none of the classes above.

Exercise 7.4: (2 P)

Let F and G be propositional formulae over $\Pi = \{P, Q, R, S, T, U\}$ such that:

- The CNF of F is the following set N of clauses:

- (1) $P \vee Q$
- (2) $\neg P \vee R \vee S$
- (3) $\neg P \vee \neg R$
- (4) $P \vee U$

- The CNF of $\neg G$ consists of the set M of clauses:

- (5) $R \vee \neg S$
- (6) $\neg R \vee Q$
- (7) $\neg Q \vee R$
- (8) $\neg S \vee T$
- (9) $S \vee \neg T$
- (10) $\neg Q \vee \neg R$

Which propositional variables occur only in N and not in M ?

Which propositional variables occur both in N and in M ?

Use the method described in the lecture to construct a Craig interpolant for $F \models G$.

Exercise 7.5: (7 P)

Let $\Sigma = (\{c_1/0, \dots, c_n/0, f_1/1, \dots, f_n/1\}, \Pi)$ be a signature. Consider the following classes of clauses:

- G (denoted in the lecture also $G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$) is the class of all ground clauses in the signature Σ which do not contain any occurrence of a unary function symbol.
- V (denoted in the lecture also $V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$) is the class of all clauses with one variable (x) in the signature Σ which do not contain any occurrence of a unary function symbol.
- G_f (denoted in the lecture also $G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_k(c_j))$) is the class of all ground clauses in the signature Σ which contain at least one occurrence of a unary function symbol (having as argument a constant); no nested applications of unary function symbols are allowed.

Example: Assume $p/3, q/2 \in \Pi$ Then:

$$C_1 : p(c_1, c_2, c_3) \vee \neg q(c_2, c_1) \notin G_f$$

$$C_2 : q(c_1, c_2) \vee \neg q(f_1(c_3), c_4) \in G_f$$

$$C_3 : q(c_1, c_2) \vee \neg q(f_1(c_3), f_2(f_3(c_4))) \notin G_f.$$

- V_f (denoted in the lecture also $V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_j(x))$) is the class of all ground clauses in the signature Σ which contain only one variable (x), at least one occurrence of a unary function symbol (having as argument the variable x), no occurrences of terms of the form $f_k(c_j)$; in addition no nested applications of unary function symbols are allowed.

Example: Assume $p/3.q/2 \in \Pi$ Then:

$$C'_1 : p(x, c_2, x) \vee \neg q(c_2, c_1) \notin G_f$$

$$C'_2 : q(x, c_2) \vee \neg q(f_1(c_3), x) \notin G_f$$

$$C'_3 : q(c_1, x) \vee \neg q(x, f_2(f_3(x))) \notin G_f \quad C'_4 : p(x, c_2, x) \vee \neg p(c_2, x, f(x)) \in G_f.$$

Consider a term ordering \succ in which $f(t) \succ t$ for every term t and terms containing function symbols of arity 1 are larger than those who do not. Consider the general ordered resolution calculus Res^\succ . Prove that in this calculus:

- (1) The resolvent of a clause in G_f and a clause in V_f is a clause in G_f of G .
- (2) The resolvent of two clauses in V_f is a clause in G , G_f , V or V_f .

Show that (up to renaming the variables) the number of different clauses in the set $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$ is finite.

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, December 17, 2014 at 13:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword "Homework DP" in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.