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## Part 2: First-Order Logic

First-order logic

- formalizes fundamental mathematical concepts
- is expressive (Turing-complete)
- is not too expressive
(e. g. not axiomatizable: natural numbers, uncountable sets)
- has a rich structure of decidable fragments
- has a rich model and proof theory

First-order logic is also called (first-order) predicate logic.

### 2.1 Syntax

## Syntax:

- non-logical symbols (domain-specific)
$\Rightarrow$ terms, atomic formulas
- logical symbols (domain-independent)
$\Rightarrow$ Boolean combinations, quantifiers


## Signature

A signature

$$
\Sigma=(\Omega, \Pi)
$$

fixes an alphabet of non-logical symbols, where

- $\Omega$ is a set of function symbols $f$ with arity $n \geq 0$, written $f / n$,
- $\Pi$ is a set of predicate symbols $p$ with arity $m \geq 0$, written $p / m$.

If $n=0$ then $f$ is also called a constant (symbol).
If $m=0$ then $p$ is also called a propositional variable.
We use letters $P, Q, R, S$, to denote propositional variables.

## Signature

Refined concept for practical applications:
many-sorted signatures (corresponds to simple type systems in programming languages).

Most results established for one-sorted signatures extend in a natural way to many-sorted signatures.

## Many-sorted Signature

A many-sorted signature

$$
\Sigma=(S, \Omega, \Pi)
$$

fixes an alphabet of non-logical symbols, where

- $S$ is a set of sorts,
- $\Omega$ is a set of function symbols $f$ with arity $a(f)=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} \rightarrow s$,
- $\Pi$ is a set of predicate symbols $p$ with arity $a(p)=s_{1} \ldots s_{m}$
where $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, s_{m}, s$ are sorts.


## Variables

Predicate logic admits the formulation of abstract, schematic assertions. (Object) variables are the technical tool for schematization.

We assume that

$$
X
$$

is a given countably infinite set of symbols which we use for (the denotation of) variables.

## Variables

Predicate logic admits the formulation of abstract, schematic assertions. (Object) variables are the technical tool for schematization.

We assume that

$$
x
$$

is a given countably infinite set of symbols which we use for (the denotation of) variables.

Many-sorted case:
We assume that for every sort $s \in S, X_{s}$ is a given countably infinite set of symbols which we use for (the denotation of) variables of sort $s$.

## Terms

Terms over $\Sigma$ (resp., $\Sigma$-terms) are formed according to these syntactic rules:

$$
\begin{array}{rlllr}
t, u, v & ::= & x & , x \in X & \text { (variable) } \\
& \mid & f\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) & , f / n \in \Omega & \text { (functional term) }
\end{array}
$$

By $\mathrm{T}_{\Sigma}(X)$ we denote the set of $\Sigma$-terms (over $X$ ).
A term not containing any variable is called a ground term.
By $\mathrm{T}_{\Sigma}$ we denote the set of $\Sigma$-ground terms.

## Terms

Terms over $\Sigma$ (resp., $\Sigma$-terms) are formed according to these syntactic rules:

$$
\begin{array}{rlllr}
t, u, v & ::= & x & , x \in X & \text { (variable) } \\
& \mid & f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right) & , f / n \in \Omega & \text { (functional term) }
\end{array}
$$

By $\mathrm{T}_{\Sigma}(X)$ we denote the set of $\Sigma$-terms (over $X$ ).
A term not containing any variable is called a ground term.
By $\mathrm{T}_{\Sigma}$ we denote the set of $\Sigma$-ground terms.

Many-sorted case:
a variable $x \in X_{s}$ is a term of sort $s$
if $a(f)=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} \rightarrow s$, and $t_{i}$ are terms of sort $s_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$ then $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a term of sort $s$.

## Terms

In other words, terms are formal expressions with well-balanced brackets which we may also view as marked, ordered trees.
The markings are function symbols or variables.
The nodes correspond to the subterms of the term.
A node $v$ that is marked with a function symbol $f$ of arity $n$ has exactly $n$ subtrees representing the $n$ immediate subterms of $v$.

## Atoms

Atoms (also called atomic formulas) over $\Sigma$ are formed according to this syntax:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cll}
A, B & ::= & p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)
\end{array} \quad, p / m \in \Pi \quad \begin{array}{cl}
{[\text { equation) }}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Whenever we admit equations as atomic formulas we are in the realm of first-order logic with equality. Admitting equality does not really increase the expressiveness of first-order logic, (cf. exercises). But deductive systems where equality is treated specifically can be much more efficient.

## Atoms

Atoms (also called atomic formulas) over $\Sigma$ are formed according to this syntax:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cll}
A, B & ::= & p\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)
\end{array}, p / m \in \Pi \quad \begin{array}{cl}
{[\text { equation })}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Whenever we admit equations as atomic formulas we are in the realm of first-order logic with equality. Admitting equality does not really increase the expressiveness of first-order logic, (cf. exercises). But deductive systems where equality is treated specifically can be much more efficient.

Many-sorted case:
If $a(p)=s_{1} \ldots s_{m}$, we require that $t_{i}$ is a term of sort $s_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$.

Literals

L $\begin{array}{llr}::= & A & \text { (positive literal) } \\ \mid & \neg A & \text { (negative literal) }\end{array}$

## Clauses

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
C, D & ::= & \perp \\
& & \text { (empty clause) } \\
& L_{1} \vee \ldots \vee L_{k}, \quad k \geq 1 & \text { (non-empty clause) }
\end{array}
$$

## General First-Order Formulas

$F_{\Sigma}(X)$ is the set of first-order formulas over $\Sigma$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
F, G, H & ::= & \perp \\
& T & T \\
& A \\
& \neg F \\
& (F \wedge G) \\
& (F \vee G) \\
& (F \rightarrow G) \\
& (F \leftrightarrow G) \\
& \forall \times F \\
& \exists x F
\end{array}
$$

## Notational Conventions

We omit brackets according to the following rules:

- $\neg>_{p} \wedge>_{p} \vee>_{p} \rightarrow>_{p} \leftrightarrow$
(binding precedences)
- $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are associative and commutative
- $\rightarrow$ is right-associative
$Q x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} F$ abbreviates $Q x_{1} \ldots Q x_{n} F$.


## Notational Conventions

We use infix-, prefix-, postfix-, or mixfix-notation with the usual operator precedences.

Examples:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
s+t * u & \text { for } & +(s, *(t, u)) \\
s * u \leq t+v & \text { for } & \leq(*(s, u),+(t, v)) \\
-s & \text { for } & -(s) \\
0 & \text { for } & 0()
\end{array}
$$

## Conventions

In what follows we will use the following conventions:
constants (0-ary function symbols) are denoted with $a, b, c, d, \ldots$
function symbols with arity $\geq 1$ are denoted

- $f, g, h, \ldots$ if the formulae are interpreted into arbitrary algebras
- $+,-, s, \ldots$ if the intended interpretation is into numerical domains
predicate symbols with arity 0 are denoted $P, Q, R, S, \ldots$
predicate symbols with arity $\geq 1$ are denoted
- $p, q, r, \ldots$ if the formulae are interpreted into arbitrary algebras
- $\leq, \geq,<,>$ if the intended interpretation is into numerical domains
variables are denoted $x, y, z, \ldots$


## Example: Peano Arithmetic

Signature:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{P A}=\left(\Omega_{P A}, \Pi_{P A}\right) \\
& \Omega_{P A}=\{0 / 0,+/ 2, * / 2, s / 1\} \\
& \Pi_{P A}=\{\leq / 2,</ 2\} \\
& +, *,<, \leq \text { infix } ; *>_{p}+>_{p}<>_{p} \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples of formulas over this signature are:
$\forall x, y(x \leq y \leftrightarrow \exists z(x+z \approx y))$
$\exists x \forall y(x+y \approx y)$
$\forall x, y(x * s(y) \approx x * y+x)$
$\forall x, y(s(x) \approx s(y) \rightarrow x \approx y)$
$\forall x \exists y(x<y \wedge \neg \exists z(x<z \wedge z<y))$

## Remarks About the Example

We observe that the symbols $\leq,<, 0, s$ are redundant as they can be defined in first-order logic with equality just with the help of + . The first formula defines $\leq$, while the second defines zero. The last formula, respectively, defines $s$.

Eliminating the existential quantifiers by Skolemization (cf. below) reintroduces the "redundant" symbols.

Consequently there is a trade-off between the complexity of the quantification structure and the complexity of the signature.

## Example: Specifying LISP lists

Signature:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{\text {Lists }}=\left(\Omega_{\text {Lists }}, \Pi_{\text {Lists }}\right) \\
& \Omega_{\text {Lists }}=\{\text { car } / 1, \text { cdr } / 1, \text { cons } / 2\} \\
& \Pi_{\text {Lists }}=\emptyset
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples of formulae:
$\forall x, y \quad \operatorname{car}(\operatorname{cons}(x, y)) \approx x$
$\forall x, y \operatorname{cdr}(\operatorname{cons}(x, y)) \approx y$
$\forall x \quad \operatorname{cons}(\operatorname{car}(x), \operatorname{cdr}(x)) \approx x$

## Many-sorted signatures

## Example:

Signature
$S=\{$ array, index, element $\}$
$\Omega=\{$ read, write $\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(\text { read })=\text { array } \times \text { index } \rightarrow \text { element } \\
& a(\text { write })=\text { array } \times \text { index } \times \text { element } \rightarrow \text { array }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Pi=\emptyset$
$X=\left\{X_{s} \mid s \in S\right\}$
Examples of formulae:
$\forall x$ : array $\forall i$ : index $\forall j$ : index $(i \approx j \rightarrow$ write $(x, i, \operatorname{read}(x, j)) \approx x)$
$\forall x$ : array $\forall y$ : array $(x \approx y \leftrightarrow \forall i$ : index $(\operatorname{read}(x, i) \approx \operatorname{read}(y, i)))$

## Bound and Free Variables

In $Q x F, Q \in\{\exists, \forall\}$, we call $F$ the scope of the quantifier $Q x$.
An occurrence of a variable $x$ is called bound, if it is inside the scope of a quantifier $Q x$.
Any other occurrence of a variable is called free.
Formulas without free variables are also called closed formulas or sentential forms.

Formulas without variables are called ground.

## Bound and Free Variables

## Example:



The occurrence of $y$ is bound, as is the first occurrence of $x$. The second occurrence of $x$ is a free occurrence.

## Substitutions

Substitution is a fundamental operation on terms and formulas that occurs in all inference systems for first-order logic.

In general, substitutions are mappings

$$
\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\Sigma}(X)
$$

such that the domain of $\sigma$, that is, the set

$$
\operatorname{dom}(\sigma)=\{x \in X \mid \sigma(x) \neq x\}
$$

is finite. The set of variables introduced by $\sigma$, that is, the set of variables occurring in one of the terms $\sigma(x)$, with $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$, is denoted by $\operatorname{codom}(\sigma)$.

## Substitutions

Substitution is a fundamental operation on terms and formulas that occurs in all inference systems for first-order logic.

In general, substitutions are mappings

$$
\sigma: X \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{\Sigma}(X)
$$

such that the domain of $\sigma$, that is, the set

$$
\operatorname{dom}(\sigma)=\{x \in X \mid \sigma(x) \neq x\}
$$

is finite. The set of variables introduced by $\sigma$, that is, the set of variables occurring in one of the terms $\sigma(x)$, with $x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)$, is denoted by $\operatorname{codom}(\sigma)$.

Many-sorted case: Substitutions must be sort-preserving: If $x$ is a variable of sort $s$, then $\sigma(x)$ must be a term of sort $s$.

## Substitutions

Substitutions are often written as $\left[s_{1} / x_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} / x_{n}\right]$, with $x_{i}$ pairwise distinct, and then denote the mapping

$$
\left[s_{1} / x_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} / x_{n}\right](y)= \begin{cases}s_{i}, & \text { if } y=x_{i} \\ y, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We also write $x \sigma$ for $\sigma(x)$.

The modification of a substitution $\sigma$ at $x$ is defined as follows:

$$
\sigma[x \mapsto t](y)= \begin{cases}t, & \text { if } y=x \\ \sigma(y), & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Why Substitution is Complicated

We define the application of a substitution $\sigma$ to a term $t$ or formula $F$ by structural induction over the syntactic structure of $t$ or $F$ by the equations depicted on the next page.

In the presence of quantification it is surprisingly complex:
We need to make sure that the (free) variables in the codomain of $\sigma$ are not captured upon placing them into the scope of a quantifier $Q y$, hence the bound variable must be renamed into a "fresh", that is, previously unused, variable $z$.

## Application of a Substitution

"Homomorphic" extension of $\sigma$ to terms and formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \sigma & =f\left(s_{1} \sigma, \ldots, s_{n} \sigma\right) \\
\perp \sigma & =\perp \\
\top \sigma & =\top \\
p\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \sigma & =p\left(s_{1} \sigma, \ldots, s_{n} \sigma\right) \\
(u \approx v) \sigma & =(u \sigma \approx v \sigma) \\
\neg F \sigma & =\neg(F \sigma) \\
(F \rho G) \sigma & =(F \sigma \rho G \sigma) ; \text { for each binary connective } \rho \\
(Q \times F) \sigma & =Q z(F[x \mapsto z] \sigma) ; \text { with } z \text { a fresh variable }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Semantics

To give semantics to a logical system means to define a notion of truth for the formulas. The concept of truth that we will now define for first-order logic goes back to Tarski.

As in the propositional case, we use a two-valued logic with truth values "true" and "false" denoted by 1 and 0 , respectively.

## Structures

A $\Sigma$-algebra (also called $\Sigma$-interpretation or $\Sigma$-structure) is a triple

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(U,\left(f_{\mathcal{A}}: U^{n} \rightarrow U\right)_{f / n \in \Omega},\left(p_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq U^{m}\right)_{p / m \in \Pi}\right)
$$

where $U \neq \emptyset$ is a set, called the universe of $\mathcal{A}$.
Normally, by abuse of notation, we will have $\mathcal{A}$ denote both the algebra and its universe.

By $\Sigma$-Alg we denote the class of all $\Sigma$-algebras.

## Structures

A $\Sigma$-algebra (also called $\Sigma$-interpretation or $\Sigma$-structure) is a triple

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left(U,\left(f_{\mathcal{A}}: U^{n} \rightarrow U\right)_{f / n \in \Omega},\left(p_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq U^{m}\right)_{p / m \in \Pi}\right)
$$

where $U \neq \emptyset$ is a set, called the universe of $\mathcal{A}$.
Normally, by abuse of notation, we will have $\mathcal{A}$ denote both the algebra and its universe.

By $\Sigma$-Alg we denote the class of all $\Sigma$-algebras.

A many-sorted $\Sigma$-algebra (also called $\Sigma$-interpretation or $\Sigma$-structure), where $\Sigma=(S, \Omega, \Pi)$ is a triple
$\mathcal{A}=\left(\left\{U_{s}\right\}_{s \in S},\left(f_{\mathcal{A}}: U_{s_{1}} \times \ldots \times U_{s_{n}} \rightarrow \underset{\substack{s \\ a(f)=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} \rightarrow s}}{\substack{f \in \Omega,\left(p_{\mathcal{A}}\right.}} U_{s_{1}} \times \ldots \times U_{s_{m}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}\right) \underset{a(p)=s_{1} \ldots s_{m}}{p \in \Pi}\right)$
where $U_{s} \neq \emptyset$ is a set, called the universe of $\mathcal{A}$ of sort s.

## Assignments

A variable has no intrinsic meaning. The meaning of a variable has to be defined externally (explicitly or implicitly in a given context) by an assignment.

A (variable) assignment, also called a valuation (over a given $\Sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ ), is a $\operatorname{map} \beta: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Variable assignments are the semantic counterparts of substitutions.

## Assignments

A variable has no intrinsic meaning. The meaning of a variable has to be defined externally (explicitly or implicitly in a given context) by an assignment.

A (variable) assignment, also called a valuation (over a given $\Sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ ), is a $\operatorname{map} \beta: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Variable assignments are the semantic counterparts of substitutions.

Many-sorted case:
$\beta=\left\{\beta_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}, \beta_{s}: X_{s} \rightarrow U_{s}$

## Value of a Term in $\mathcal{A}$ with Respect to $\beta$

By structural induction we define

$$
\mathcal{A}(\beta): \mathrm{T}_{\Sigma}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}
$$

as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(x) & =\beta(x), \quad x \in X \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(f\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)\right) & =f_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(s_{n}\right)\right), \quad f / n \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

## Value of a Term in $\mathcal{A}$ with Respect to $\beta$

In the scope of a quantifier we need to evaluate terms with respect to modified assignments. To that end, let $\beta[x \mapsto a]: X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, for $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, denote the assignment

$$
\beta[x \mapsto a](y):= \begin{cases}a & \text { if } x=y \\ \beta(y) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

## Truth Value of a Formula in $\mathcal{A}$ with Respect to $\beta$

$\mathcal{A}(\beta): \mathrm{F}_{\Sigma}(X) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is defined inductively as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\perp) & =0 \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\top) & =1 \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(p\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)\right) & =1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad\left(\mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(s_{1}\right), \ldots, \mathcal{A}(\beta)\left(s_{n}\right)\right) \in p_{\mathcal{A}} \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(s \approx t) & =1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\beta)(s)=\mathcal{A}(\beta)(t) \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\neg F) & =1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\beta)(F)=0 \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(F \rho G) & =\mathrm{B}_{\rho}(\mathcal{A}(\beta)(F), \mathcal{A}(\beta)(G)) \\
& \text { with } \mathrm{B}_{\rho} \text { the Boolean function associated with } \rho \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\forall x F) & =\min _{a \in U}\{\mathcal{A}(\beta[x \mapsto a])(F)\} \\
\mathcal{A}(\beta)(\exists x F) & =\max _{a \in U}\{\mathcal{A}(\beta[x \mapsto a])(F)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

The "Standard" Interpretation for Peano Arithmetic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathbb{N}} & =\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \\
0_{\mathbb{N}} & =0 \\
s_{\mathbb{N}} & : n \mapsto n+1 \\
+_{\mathbb{N}} & :(n, m) \mapsto n+m \\
*_{\mathbb{N}} & :(n, m) \mapsto n * m \\
\leq_{\mathbb{N}} & =\{(n, m) \mid n \text { less than or equal to } m\} \\
<_{\mathbb{N}} & =\{(n, m) \mid n \text { less than } m\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\mathbb{N}$ is just one out of many possible $\Sigma_{P A}$-interpretations.

## Example

Values over $\mathbb{N}$ for Sample Terms and Formulas:
Under the assignment $\beta: x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 3$ we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbb{N}(\beta)(s(x)+s(0)) & =3 \\
\mathbb{N}(\beta)(x+y \approx s(y)) & =1 \\
\mathbb{N}(\beta)(\forall x, y(x+y \approx y+x)) & =1 \\
\mathbb{N}(\beta)(\forall z z \leq y) & =0 \\
\mathbb{N}(\beta)(\forall x \exists y x<y) & =1
\end{array}
$$

