

# Decision Procedures in Verification

First-Order Logic (5)

10.12.2018

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

e-mail: [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de)

# Until now:

---

**Syntax** (one-sorted signatures vs. many-sorted signatures)

**Semantics**

**Theories (Syntactic vs. Semantics view)**

**Herbrand models**  $\mapsto$  The Bernays-Schönfinkel class

**Algorithmic Problems**

Decidability/Undecidability

Methods: Ordered Resolution with Selection

$\mapsto$  Craig Interpolation

# Goal

---

**Goal:** Make resolution efficient

Identify clauses which are not needed and can be discarded

# Redundancy

---

So far: local restrictions of the resolution inference rules using orderings and selection functions.

Is it also possible to delete clauses altogether?

Under which circumstances are clauses unnecessary?

(Conjecture: e. g., if they are tautologies or if they are subsumed by other clauses.)

**Intuition:** If a clause is guaranteed to be neither a minimal counterexample nor productive, then we do not need it.

# Recall

---

Construction of  $I$  for the extended clause set:

	clauses $C$	$I_C$	$\Delta_C$	Remarks
1	$\neg P_0$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	
2	$P_0 \vee P_1$	$\emptyset$	$\{P_1\}$	
3	$P_1 \vee P_2$	$\{P_1\}$	$\emptyset$	
4	$\neg P_1 \vee P_2$	$\{P_1\}$	$\{P_2\}$	
9	$\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_1 \vee P_3 \vee P_0$	$\{P_1, P_2\}$	$\{P_3\}$	
8	$\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_1 \vee P_3 \vee P_3 \vee P_0$	$\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$	$\emptyset$	true in $\mathcal{A}_C$
5	$\neg P_1 \vee P_4 \vee P_3 \vee P_0$	$\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$	$\emptyset$	
6	$\neg P_1 \vee \neg P_4 \vee P_3$	$\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$	$\emptyset$	true in $\mathcal{A}_C$
7	$\neg P_3 \vee P_5$	$\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$	$\{P_5\}$	

The resulting  $I = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_5\}$  is a model of the clause set.

# A Formal Notion of Redundancy

---

Let  $N$  be a set of ground clauses and  $C$  a ground clause (not necessarily in  $N$ ).  $C$  is called **redundant** w. r. t.  $N$ , if there exist  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in N$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $C_i \prec C$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_n \models C$ .

Redundancy for general clauses:

$C$  is called **redundant** w. r. t.  $N$ , if all ground instances  $C\sigma$  of  $C$  are redundant w. r. t.  $G_\Sigma(N)$ .

**Intuition:** Redundant clauses are neither minimal counterexamples nor productive.

**Note:** The same ordering  $\succ$  is used for ordering restrictions and for redundancy (and for the completeness proof).

# Examples of Redundancy

---

## Proposition 2.40:

- $C$  tautology (i.e.,  $\models C$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $C$  redundant w. r. t. any set  $N$ .
- $C\sigma \subset D \Rightarrow D$  redundant w. r. t.  $N \cup \{C\}$
- $C\sigma \subseteq D \Rightarrow D \vee \bar{L}\sigma$  redundant w. r. t.  $N \cup \{C \vee L, D\}$

(Under certain conditions one may also use non-strict subsumption, but this requires a slightly more complicated definition of redundancy.)

# Saturation up to Redundancy

---

$N$  is called **saturated up to redundancy** (wrt.  $Res_S^>$ )

$$:\Leftrightarrow Res_S^>(N \setminus Red(N)) \subseteq N \cup Red(N)$$

## Theorem 2.41:

Let  $N$  be saturated up to redundancy. Then

$$N \models \perp \Leftrightarrow \perp \in N$$



# Saturation up to Redundancy

---

Proof (Sketch):

(i) Ground case:

- consider the construction of the candidate model  $I_N^>$  for  $Res_S^>$
- redundant clauses are not productive
- redundant clauses in  $N$  are not minimal counterexamples for  $I_N^>$

The premises of “essential” inferences are either minimal counterexamples or productive.

(ii) Lifting: no additional problems over the proof of Theorem 2.39.

# Monotonicity Properties of Redundancy

---

## Theorem 2.42:

$$(i) \quad N \subseteq M \Rightarrow Red(N) \subseteq Red(M)$$

$$(ii) \quad M \subseteq Red(N) \Rightarrow Red(N) \subseteq Red(N \setminus M)$$

## Proof:

(i) Let  $C \in Red(N)$ . Then there exist  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in N, n \geq 0$  such that  $C_i \prec C$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_n \Vdash C$ .

We assumed that  $N \subseteq M$ , so we know that  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in M$ .

Thus: there exist  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in M, n \geq 0$  such that  $C_i \prec C$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_n \Vdash C$ . Therefore,  $C \in Red(M)$ .

# Monotonicity Properties of Redundancy

---

## Theorem 2.42:

$$(i) \quad N \subseteq M \Rightarrow Red(N) \subseteq Red(M)$$

$$(ii) \quad M \subseteq Red(N) \Rightarrow Red(N) \subseteq Red(N \setminus M)$$

Proof (Idea):

(ii) Let  $C \in Red(N)$ . Then there exist  $C_1, \dots, C_n \in N, n \geq 0$  such that  $C_i \prec C$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $C_1, \dots, C_n \models C$ .

Case 1: For all  $i, C_i \notin M$ . Then  $C \in Red(N \setminus M)$ .

Case 2: For some  $i, C_i \in M \subseteq Red(N)$ . Then for every such index  $i$  there exist  $C_1^i, \dots, C_{n_i}^i \in N$  such that  $C_j^i \prec C_i$  and  $C_1^i, \dots, C_{n_i}^i \models C_i$ . We can replace  $C_i$  above with  $C_1^i, \dots, C_{n_i}^i$ . We can iterate the procedure until none of the  $C_i$ 's are in  $M$  (termination guaranteed by the fact that  $\succ$  is well-founded).

# Some theorem provers for first-order logic

---

- SPASS <http://www.spass-prover.org/>
- E <http://www4.informatik.tu-muenchen.de/~schulz/E/E.html>
- Vampire <http://www.vprover.org/>

# Decidable subclasses of first-order logic

---

# Applications

---

Use ordered resolution with selection to give a decision procedure for the Ackermann class.

# The Ackermann class

---

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a finite set of constants

The Ackermann class consists of all sentences of the form

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

**Idea:** CNF translation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ & \Rightarrow_S \forall x F(\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \\ & \Rightarrow_K \forall x \bigwedge \bigvee L_i(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \end{aligned}$$

$c_1, \dots, c_n$  are Skolem constants

$f_1, \dots, f_m$  are unary Skolem functions

# The Ackermann class

---

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a finite set of constants

The Ackermann class consists of all sentences of the form

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

**Idea:** CNF translation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ &\Rightarrow^* \forall x \bigwedge \bigvee L_i(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \end{aligned}$$

The clauses are in the following classes:

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_n)$  ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  clauses with a variable & function symbols



# The Ackermann class

---

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_n)$  ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  clauses with a variable & function symbols

## Term ordering

$f(t) \succ t$ ; terms containing function symbols larger than those who do not.

$B \succ A$  iff exists argument  $u$  of  $B$  such that every argument  $t$  of  $A$ :  $u \succ t$

**Ordered resolution:**  $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$  is closed under ordered resolution.

$G, G \mapsto G$ ;  $G, V \mapsto G$ ;  $G, G_f \mapsto$  nothing;  $G, V_f \mapsto$  nothing

$V, V \mapsto V \cup G$ ;  $V, G_f \mapsto G \cup G_f$ ;  $V, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$

$G_f, G_f \mapsto G_f$ ;  $G_f, V_f \mapsto G_f \cup G$ ;  $V_f, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup V_f \cup G_f$

**Observation 1:**  $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$  finite set of clauses (up to renaming of variables).

# The Ackermann class

---

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$  clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_i)$  ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  clauses with a variable & function symbols

## Term ordering

$f(t) \succ t$ ; terms containing function symbols larger than those who do not.

$B \succ A$  iff exists argument  $u$  of  $B$  such that every argument  $t$  of  $A$ :  $u \succ t$

**Ordered resolution:**  $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$  is closed under ordered resolution.

$G, G \mapsto G$ ;  $G, V \mapsto G$ ;  $G, G_f \mapsto$  nothing;  $G, V_f \mapsto$  nothing

$V, V \mapsto V \cup G$ ;  $V, G_f \mapsto G \cup G_f$ ;  $V, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$

$G_f, G_f \mapsto G_f$ ;  $G_f, V_f \mapsto G_f \cup G$ ;  $V_f, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup V_f \cup G_f$

**Observation 2:** No clauses with nested function symbols can be generated.

# The Ackermann Class

---

## Conclusion:

Resolution (with implicit factorization) will always terminate if the input clauses are in the class defined before.

Resolution can be used as a decision procedure to check the satisfiability of formulae in the Ackermann class.

# The Monadic Class

---

Monadic first-order logic (MFO) is FOL (without equality) over purely relational signatures  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ , where  $\Omega = \emptyset$ , and every  $p \in \Pi$  has arity 1.

Abstract syntax:

$$\Phi := \top \mid P(x) \mid \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \mid \neg\Phi \mid \Phi_1 \vee \Phi_2 \mid \forall x\Phi \mid \exists x\Phi$$

**Idea.** Let  $\Phi$  be a MFO formula with  $k$  predicate symbols.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{p \in \Pi})$  be a  $\Sigma$ -algebra. The only way to distinguish the elements of  $U_{\mathcal{A}}$  is by the atomic formulae  $p(x)$ ,  $p \in \Pi$ .

- the elements which  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  which belong to the same  $p_{\mathcal{A}}$ 's,  $p \in \Pi$  can be collapsed into one single element.
- if  $\Pi = \{p^1, \dots, p^k\}$  then what remains is a *finite structure* with at most  $2^k$  elements.
- the truth value of a formula: computed by evaluating all subformulae.

# The Monadic Class

---

MFO Abstract syntax:  $\Phi := \top \mid P(x) \mid \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \mid \neg\Phi \mid \Phi_1 \vee \Phi_2 \mid \forall x\Phi \mid \exists x\Phi$

**Theorem (Finite model theorem for MFO).** If  $\Phi$  is a satisfiable MFO formula with  $k$  predicate symbols then  $\Phi$  has a model where the domain is a subset of  $\{0, 1\}^k$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$ , where  $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$ ,  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ .

We construct a model for  $\Phi$  with cardinality at most  $2^k$  as follows:

- Let  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be defined for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$  iff  $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$ .
- We show that  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ .

# The Monadic Class

---

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$ , where  $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$ ,  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ .

We construct a model for  $\Phi$  with cardinality at most  $2^k$  as follows:

- Let  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be defined for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$  iff  $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$ .
- We show that  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ .

## Induction on the structure of $\Phi$

- $\Phi = \top$  OK
- $\Phi = p^i(x)$ . Then  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$  iff  $\beta(x) \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$  iff  $h(\beta(x)) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$  iff  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ .

# The Monadic Class

---

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$ , where  $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$ ,  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ .

We construct a model for  $\Phi$  with cardinality at most  $2^k$  as follows:

- Let  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be defined for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$  iff  $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$ .
- We show that  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ .

## Induction on the structure of $\Phi$

- $\Phi = \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2$ : standard
- $\Phi = \neg\Phi_1$ : standard

# The Monadic Class

---

Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$ , where  $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$ ,  $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$  be such that  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ .

We construct a model for  $\Phi$  with cardinality at most  $2^k$  as follows:

- Let  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be defined for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then  $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$  iff  $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$ .
- We show that  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ .

## Induction on the structure of $\Phi$

- $\Phi = \forall x \Phi_1(x)$ . Then the following are equivalent:
  - $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$  (i.e.  $(\mathcal{A}, \beta[x \mapsto a]) \models \Phi_1$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ )
  - $(\mathcal{B}', \beta[x \mapsto a] \circ h) \models \Phi_1$  for all  $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$  (ind. hyp)
  - $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h[x \mapsto b]) \models \Phi_1$  for all  $b \in \{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})$  (i.e.  $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$ )



# The Monadic Class

---

Resolution-based decision procedure for the Monadic Class (and for several other classes):

William H. Joyner Jr.

Resolution Strategies as Decision Procedures.

J. ACM 23(3): 398-417 (1976)

## Idea:

- Use orderings to restrict the possible inferences
- Identify a class of clauses (with terms of bounded depth) which contains the type of clauses generated from the respective fragment and is closed under ordered resolution (+ red. elim. criteria)
- Show that a saturation of the clauses can be obtained in finite time

# The Monadic Class

---

Resolution-based decision procedure for the Monadic Class:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : & \quad \forall \bar{x}_1 \exists \bar{y}_1 \dots \forall \bar{x}_k \exists \bar{y}_k (\dots p^s(x_i) \dots p^l(y_i) \dots) \\ \mapsto & \quad \forall \bar{x}_1 \dots \forall \bar{x}_k (\dots p^s(x_i) \dots p^l(f_{sk}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_i)) \dots)\end{aligned}$$

Consider the class MON of clauses with the following properties:

- no literal of height greater than 2 appears
- each variable-disjoint partition has at most  $n = \sum_{i=1} |\bar{x}_i|$  variables (can order the variables as  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ )
- the variables of each non-ground block can occur either in atoms  $p(x_i)$  or in atoms  $P(f_{sk}(x_1, \dots, x_t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq n$

It can be shown that this class contains all CNF's of formulae in the monadic class and is closed under ordered resolution.