

Decision Procedures in Verification

First-Order Logic (5)

12.12.2022

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

e-mail: sofronie@uni-koblenz.de

Until now

- Ordered resolution with selection
- Redundancy

Some theorem provers for first-order logic

- SPASS <http://www.spass-prover.org/>
- E <http://www4.informatik.tu-muenchen.de/~schulz/E/E.html>
- Vampire <http://www.vprover.org/>

Decidable subclasses of first-order logic

Applications

Use ordered resolution with selection to give a decision procedure for the Ackermann class.

The Ackermann class

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$, Ω is a finite set of constants

The Ackermann class consists of all sentences of the form

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

Idea: CNF translation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ & \Rightarrow_S \forall x F(\bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \\ & \Rightarrow_K \forall x \bigwedge \bigvee L_i(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \end{aligned}$$

c_1, \dots, c_n are Skolem constants

f_1, \dots, f_m are unary Skolem functions

The Ackermann class

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$, Ω is a finite set of constants

The Ackermann class consists of all sentences of the form

$$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

Idea: CNF translation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall x \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m F(x_1, \dots, x_n, x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \\ &\Rightarrow^* \forall x \bigwedge \bigvee L_i(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, f_1(x), \dots, f_m(x)) \end{aligned}$$

The clauses are in the following classes:

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ clauses with a variable & function symbols

The Ackermann class

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1, \dots, f_n)$ ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ clauses with a variable & function symbols

Term ordering

$f(t) \succ t$; terms containing function symbols larger than those who do not.

$B \succ A$ iff exists argument u of B such that every argument t of A : $u \succ t$

Ordered resolution: $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$ is closed under ordered resolution.

$G, G \mapsto G$; $G, V \mapsto G$; $G, G_f \mapsto$ nothing; $G, V_f \mapsto$ nothing

$V, V \mapsto V \cup G$; $V, G_f \mapsto G \cup G_f$; $V, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$

$G_f, G_f \mapsto G_f$; $G_f, V_f \mapsto G_f \cup G$; $V_f, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup V_f \cup G_f$

Observation 1: $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$ finite set of clauses (up to renaming of variables).

The Ackermann class

$G = G(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ ground clauses without function symbols

$V = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ clauses with one variable and without function symbols

$G_f = G(c_1, \dots, c_n, f_i)$ ground clauses with function symbols

$V_f = V(x, c_1, \dots, c_n, f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ clauses with a variable & function symbols

Term ordering

$f(t) \succ t$; terms containing function symbols larger than those who do not.

$B \succ A$ iff exists argument u of B such that every argument t of A : $u \succ t$

Ordered resolution: $G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$ is closed under ordered resolution.

$G, G \mapsto G$; $G, V \mapsto G$; $G, G_f \mapsto$ nothing; $G, V_f \mapsto$ nothing

$V, V \mapsto V \cup G$; $V, G_f \mapsto G \cup G_f$; $V, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup G_f \cup V_f$

$G_f, G_f \mapsto G_f$; $G_f, V_f \mapsto G_f \cup G$; $V_f, V_f \mapsto G \cup V \cup V_f \cup G_f$

Observation 2: No clauses with nested function symbols can be generated.

The Ackermann Class

Conclusion:

Resolution (with implicit factorization) will always terminate if the input clauses are in the class defined before.

Resolution can be used as a decision procedure to check the satisfiability of formulae in the Ackermann class.

The Monadic Class

Monadic first-order logic (MFO) is FOL (without equality) over purely relational signatures $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$, where $\Omega = \emptyset$, and every $p \in \Pi$ has arity 1.

Abstract syntax:

$$\Phi := \top \mid P(x) \mid \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \mid \neg\Phi \mid \Phi_1 \vee \Phi_2 \mid \forall x\Phi \mid \exists x\Phi$$

Idea. Let Φ be a MFO formula with k predicate symbols.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}\}_{p \in \Pi})$ be a Σ -algebra. The only way to distinguish the elements of $U_{\mathcal{A}}$ is by the atomic formulae $p(x)$, $p \in \Pi$.

- the elements which $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ which belong to the same $p_{\mathcal{A}}$'s, $p \in \Pi$ can be collapsed into one single element.
- if $\Pi = \{p^1, \dots, p^k\}$ then what remains is a *finite structure* with at most 2^k elements.
- the truth value of a formula: computed by evaluating all subformulae.

The Monadic Class

MFO Abstract syntax: $\Phi := \top \mid P(x) \mid \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2 \mid \neg\Phi \mid \Phi_1 \vee \Phi_2 \mid \forall x\Phi \mid \exists x\Phi$

Theorem (Finite model theorem for MFO). If Φ is a satisfiable MFO formula with k predicate symbols then Φ has a model where the domain is a subset of $\{0, 1\}^k$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$, where $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$, $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$.

We construct a model for Φ with cardinality at most 2^k as follows:

- Let $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be defined for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ iff $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

- Let $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$.
- We show that $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$.

The Monadic Class

Let $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$, where $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$, $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$.

We construct a model for Φ with cardinality at most 2^k as follows:

- Let $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be defined for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ iff $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

- Let $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$.
- We show that $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$.

Induction on the structure of Φ

- $\Phi = \top$ OK
- $\Phi = p^i(x)$. Then $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ iff $\beta(x) \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ iff $h(\beta(x)) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ iff $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$.

The Monadic Class

Let $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$, where $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$, $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$.

We construct a model for Φ with cardinality at most 2^k as follows:

- Let $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be defined for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ iff $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

- Let $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$.
- We show that $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$.

Induction on the structure of Φ

- $\Phi = \Phi_1 \wedge \Phi_2$: standard
- $\Phi = \neg\Phi_1$: standard

The Monadic Class

Let $\mathcal{B} = (\{0, 1\}^k, \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k\})$, where $p_{\mathcal{B}}^i = \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \mid b_i = 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}^1, \dots, p_{\mathcal{A}}^k\})$, $\beta : X \rightarrow U_{\mathcal{A}}$ be such that $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$.

We construct a model for Φ with cardinality at most 2^k as follows:

- Let $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be defined for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ by:

$$h(a) = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \text{ where } b_i = 1 \text{ if } a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $a \in p_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ iff $h(a) \in p_{\mathcal{B}}^i$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ and all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

- Let $\mathcal{B}' = (\{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \{p_{\mathcal{B}}^1 \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}}), \dots, p_{\mathcal{B}}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})\})$.
- We show that $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$.

Induction on the structure of Φ

- $\Phi = \forall x \Phi_1(x)$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - $(\mathcal{A}, \beta) \models \Phi$ (i.e. $(\mathcal{A}, \beta[x \mapsto a]) \models \Phi_1$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$)
 - $(\mathcal{B}', \beta[x \mapsto a] \circ h) \models \Phi_1$ for all $a \in U_{\mathcal{A}}$ (ind. hyp)
 - $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h[x \mapsto b]) \models \Phi_1$ for all $b \in \{0, 1\}^k \cap h(U_{\mathcal{A}})$ (i.e. $(\mathcal{B}', \beta \circ h) \models \Phi$)

The Monadic Class

Resolution-based decision procedure for the Monadic Class (and for several other classes):

William H. Joyner Jr.

Resolution Strategies as Decision Procedures.

J. ACM 23(3): 398-417 (1976)

Idea:

- Use orderings to restrict the possible inferences
- Identify a class of clauses (with terms of bounded depth) which contains the type of clauses generated from the respective fragment and is closed under ordered resolution (+ red. elim. criteria)
- Show that a saturation of the clauses can be obtained in finite time

The Monadic Class

Resolution-based decision procedure for the Monadic Class:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : & \quad \forall \bar{x}_1 \exists \bar{y}_1 \dots \forall \bar{x}_k \exists \bar{y}_k (\dots p^s(x_i) \dots p^l(y_i) \dots) \\ \mapsto & \quad \forall \bar{x}_1 \dots \forall \bar{x}_k (\dots p^s(x_i) \dots p^l(f_{sk}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_i) \dots))\end{aligned}$$

Consider the class MON of clauses with the following properties:

- no literal of height greater than 2 appears
- each variable-disjoint partition has at most $n = \sum_{i=1} |\bar{x}_i|$ variables (can order the variables as x_1, \dots, x_n)
- the variables of each non-ground block can occur either in atoms $p(x_i)$ or in atoms $P(f_{sk}(x_1, \dots, x_t))$, $0 \leq t \leq n$

It can be shown that this class contains all CNF's of formulae in the monadic class and is closed under ordered resolution.