

Exercise 8.3: Prove the following equivalences of CTL formulas:

(1) $\neg A \diamond F \equiv E \Box \neg F$

By definition, $A \Box G = \neg E \diamond \neg G$ for all formulae G .
 $E \Box G = \neg A \diamond \neg G$.

$E \Box \neg F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg A \diamond \neg \neg F \equiv \neg A \diamond F$.

(2) $\neg E \diamond F \equiv A \Box \neg F$

$A \Box \neg F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg E \diamond \neg \neg F \equiv \neg E \diamond F$.

(3) $\neg A \Box F \equiv E \Box \neg F$

To show: for all CTL structures $T = (S, \rightarrow, L)$ and all $s \in S$ we have

$(T, s) \models \neg A \Box F \iff (T, s) \models E \Box \neg F$.

Proof:

Let T be a CTL structure and s be a state.

$(T, s) \models \neg A \Box F \iff (T, s) \not\models A \Box F$
 $\iff \text{not } (\text{for all } t \in S \text{ with } s \rightarrow t \text{ we have } (T, t) \models F)$
 $\iff \text{there exists } t \in S \text{ with } s \rightarrow t \text{ and } (T, t) \not\models F$
 $\iff \text{there exists } t \in S \text{ with } s \rightarrow t \text{ and } (T, t) \models \neg F$
 $\iff (T, s) \models E \Box \neg F$.

(4) $E(FUG) \equiv GV(F \wedge EOE(FUG))$

To show: in all CTL structures T & all states s of T

$(T, s) \models E(FUG) \iff (T, s) \models GV(F \wedge EOE(FUG))$

Proof let T be a CTL structure and $s \in S$

$(T, s) \models E(FUG) \iff$ there exists a computation \bar{u} of T

$\bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow \dots, s_0 = s$

s.t. $\exists m \geq 0$ st. $(T, s_m) \models G$ and

$(T, s_k) \models F$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$

$\iff \exists$ computation $\bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_m, s_0 = s$

s.t. (i) $\exists m = 0$ st. $(T, s_m) \models G$ and $(T, s_k) \models F$ for $k < m$

or
 (ii) $\exists m \geq 1$ st. $(T, s_m) \models G$ and $(T, s_k) \models F$ for $k < m$.

$\iff \exists$ computation $\bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots, s_0 = s$

s.t. (i) $(T, s_0) \models G$

\exists computation $\bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots, s_0 = s$

st. (ii') $(T, s_0) \models F$ and $(T, s_0) \models EOE(FUG)$

it is easy to see that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

To show that (ii) and (ii') are equivalent we

note that (ii) holds.

Assume (ii) holds.
 (1) If $m \geq 1$ & $(T, s_k) \models F$ for all $k < m$ then $(T, s_0) \models F$.

(2) (ii) implies that there exists a state

s_1 st. $(A \rightarrow s_1)$ and
 there exists a computation $\bar{u}' = s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_m \rightarrow \dots$
 such that $\exists m \geq 1 : (T, s_m) \models G$ & $(T, s_k) \models F$
 for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$

But then there exists s_1 with $A \rightarrow s_1$ and

$(T, s_1) \models E(FUG)$

So $(T, s_0) \models EOE(FUG)$

Assume (ii') holds.

Then $\begin{cases} (T, s_0) \text{ FF} \& \\ \text{there exists } S_1 \quad A \rightarrow S_1 \text{ and} \\ \text{there exists a path } A_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_n \end{cases}$

st. $(T, s_1) \text{ FF} \cup G$ (i.e. $\exists m \geq 1$ st. $(T, s_m) \text{ FF} \cup G$ &
 $(T, s_k) \text{ FF}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$)

Then: there exists a path $\pi = s_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S_n$

$(T, s_0) \text{ FF}$ and

$\exists m \geq 1: (T, s_m) \text{ FF} \cup G$ and $(T, s_k) \text{ FF}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$

But this clearly violates (ii).

(5) $E \Box F \equiv F \wedge E \bigcirc E \Box F$.

Let T be a CTL structure and s a state of T

$(T, s) \models E \Box F \Leftrightarrow (T, s) \models \neg A \Diamond \neg F$

$\Leftrightarrow (T, s) \not\models A(T \cup \neg F)$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{not}(\text{for all paths } \bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_n \rightarrow \dots \text{ with } s_0 = s$
 then exists $m \geq 0$ with $(T, s_m) \models \neg F$)

$\circledast \left[\begin{array}{l} \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists a path } \bar{u} = s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow s_m \rightarrow \dots \text{ with } s_0 = s \\ \text{s.t. for all } m \geq 0 \text{ } (T, s_m) \models F. \end{array} \right.$

$(T, s) \models F \wedge E \bigcirc E \Box F \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T, s) \models F \text{ and} \\ \text{there exists } s_1 \text{ with } (s, \rightarrow s_1) \\ \text{and } (T, s_1) \models E \Box F. \end{array} \right.$

$\circledast\ast \left[\begin{array}{l} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T, s) \models F \text{ and} \\ \text{there exists } s_1 \text{ with } s \rightarrow s_1 \\ \text{and there exists a path } \bar{u}_1 = s_1 \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow \dots \end{array} \right. \\ \text{such that for all } m \geq 1: (T, s_m) \models F. \end{array} \right.$

\circledast and $\circledast\ast$ are clearly equivalent:

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \circledast \text{ holds then } (T, s) \models F \text{ and there exists a path } \bar{u}_1 = \bar{u}^1 \\ \text{on which } F \text{ remains true,} \\ \text{so } \circledast\ast \text{ holds.} \\ \text{if } \circledast\ast \text{ holds we can construct a path } \bar{u} \text{ adding } s_0 = s \\ \text{at the beginning of path } \bar{u}_1 \text{, which exists because} \\ \text{of (ii) - Then } F \text{ is true at all states on path } \bar{u}. \\ \text{so } \circledast \text{ holds.} \end{array} \right.$