

Exercises for “Formal Specification and Verification”
Exercise sheet 3

Exercise 3.1:

Compute the results of the following substitutions:

- (a) $f(g(x), x)[g(a)/x]$
- (b) $p(f(y, x), g(x))[x/y]$
- (c) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), g(y)))[x/y]$
- (d) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), x))[y/x]$
- (e) $\forall y(p(f(z, g(y)), g(x)) \vee \exists z(g(z) \approx y))[g(b)/z]$
- (f) $\exists y(f(x, y) \approx x \rightarrow \forall x(f(x, y) \approx x))[g(y)/y, g(z)/x]$

Exercise 3.2:

Prove or refute the following statements:

- (a) If F is a first-order formula, then F is valid if and only if $F \rightarrow \perp$ is unsatisfiable.
- (b) If F and G are first-order formulae, F is valid, and $F \rightarrow G$ is valid, then G is valid.
- (c) If F and G are first-order formulae, F is satisfiable, and $F \rightarrow G$ is satisfiable, then G is satisfiable.
- (d) If F is a first-order formula and x a variable, then F is unsatisfiable if and only if $\exists x F$ is unsatisfiable.
- (e) If F and G are first-order formulae and x is a variable then $\forall x(F \wedge G) \models \forall x F \wedge \forall x G$ and $\forall x F \wedge \forall x G \models \forall x(F \wedge G)$.
- (f) If F and G are first-order formulae and x is a variable then $\exists x(F \wedge G) \models \exists x F \wedge \exists x G$ and $\exists x F \wedge \exists x G \models \exists x(F \wedge G)$.

Exercise 3.3:

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$, where $\Omega = \{0/0, s/1, +/2\}$ and $\Pi = \emptyset$ (i.e. the only predicate symbol is \approx). Consider the following formulae in the signature Σ :

1. $F_1 = \forall x (x + 0 \approx x)$
2. $F_2 = \forall x, y (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$

3. $F_3 = \forall x, y (x + y \approx y + x)$.

Find a Σ -structure in which F_1 and F_2 are valid but F_3 is not.

Definitions and notations:

Let $\Sigma=(\Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature and $\mathcal{A}=(U, \{f_{\mathcal{A}}:U^n \rightarrow U\}_{f/n \in \Omega}, \{p_{\mathcal{A}}:U^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}_{p/m \in \Pi})$ be a Σ -structure.

- An equivalence relation $\sim \subseteq U \times U$ is a *congruence relation*¹ if it is compatible with the operations and predicates, i.e. for every $f/n \in \Omega$ and $p/m \in \Pi$:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \in U, (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \rightarrow f_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim f_{\mathcal{A}}(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m \in U, (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_m \sim y_m \rightarrow p_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = p_{\mathcal{A}}(y_1, \dots, y_m)).$$

- The *quotient structure* is $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}/\sim = (\hat{U}, \{f_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}: \hat{U}^n \rightarrow \hat{U}\}_{f/n \in \Omega}, \{p_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}: \hat{U}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\}_{p/m \in \Pi})$, where:
 - $\hat{U} = U/\sim = \{[x] \mid x \in U\}$, where $[x] = \{y \in U \mid x \sim y\}$
 - $f_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}([x_1], \dots, [x_n]) = [f(x_1, \dots, x_n)]$ for every $f/n \in \Omega$
 - $p_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}([x_1], \dots, [x_m]) = p(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ for every $p/m \in \Pi$.
- A *term Σ -structure* (or a *Herbrand interpretation over Σ*) is a Σ -structure \mathcal{A} having as universe the set T_{Σ} of ground terms and the operations defined by $f_{\mathcal{A}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and arbitrarily defined predicates.
- If $\Pi = \emptyset$ (i.e. \approx is the unique predicate) then there is only one term Σ -structure (Herbrand interpretation), which we will denote with \overline{T}_{Σ} .

Exercise 3.4:

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ with $\Omega = \{b/0, f/1\}$ and $\Pi = \{p/1\}$.

- (1) How many different Herbrand interpretations over Σ exist? Explain briefly.
- (2) Consider the formula $F := p(f(f(b))) \wedge \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow p(f(x)))$. How many different Herbrand models over Σ does the formula F have? Explain briefly.
- (3) Every Herbrand interpretation which is a model of F is also a model of $G := \forall x p(f(f(x)))$. Give an example of an algebra that is a model of F but not of G .
- (4) Let \mathcal{A} be a Herbrand interpretation over Σ and let \sim be the binary relation on T_{Σ} defined by:

$$t_1 \sim t_2 \text{ iff } \forall x (f(f(f(x))) = x) \models t_1 \approx t_2.$$

- Is \sim a congruence relation on \mathcal{A} ?
- Describe the quotient structure \mathcal{A}/\sim .
- Describe the class $\{\mathcal{A}/\sim \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ Herbrand interpretation over } \Sigma\}$.

Exercise 3.5:

Consider the following specification of binary trees (in a variant of the CASL syntax)

¹In the many-sorted case the definitions are similar, with the difference that $T_{\Sigma} = \{T_{\Sigma}^s\}_{s \in S}$, where T_{Σ}^s is the set of all terms of sort s , and a congruence relation \sim consists of a family of equivalence relations $\{\sim_s \subseteq U_s \times U_s\}_{s \in S}$ which is compatible with the operations and predicates (similar definition, but the sorts of the variables x_i, y_i correspond to the arity of f ; for comparing two terms of sort s the predicate \sim_s is used).

spec BinTree =

sort elem, tree

operations $a : \rightarrow \text{elem}$
 $\text{empty} : \rightarrow \text{tree}$
 $\text{leaf} : \text{elem} \rightarrow \text{tree}$
 $\text{make} : \text{tree}, \text{tree} \rightarrow \text{tree}$
 $\text{right} : \text{tree} \rightarrow \text{tree}$
 $\text{left} : \text{tree} \rightarrow \text{tree}$

Axioms: $\forall x_1, x_2 : \text{tree}, \forall e : \text{elem}$:

- $\text{right}(\text{empty}) \approx \text{empty}$
- $\text{right}(\text{leaf}(e)) \approx \text{empty}$
- $\text{left}(\text{empty}) \approx \text{empty}$
- $\text{left}(\text{leaf}(e)) \approx \text{empty}$
- $\text{left}(\text{make}(x_1, x_2)) \approx x_1$
- $\text{right}(\text{make}(x_1, x_2)) \approx x_2$

- (1) Let \mathcal{F} be the set of axioms in the specification above. Which of the following hold?
- (1a) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{left}(\text{make}(\text{empty}, \text{empty})) \approx \text{empty}$
- (1b) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{make}(x_1, x_2) = \text{empty}$
- (1c) $\mathcal{F} \models (x_2 \approx \text{empty} \wedge x_3 \approx \text{make}(x_1, \text{empty})) \rightarrow \text{make}(\text{left}(\text{make}(x_1, x_2)), \text{right}(\text{leaf}(e))) \approx x_3$
- (1d) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{make}(x_1, \text{make}(x_2, x_3)) = x_2$

- (2) Let \sim be defined on T_Σ by:

$$t_1 \sim t_2 \text{ iff } \mathcal{F} \models t_1 \approx t_2.$$

Describe the quotient algebra $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma / \sim$.

- (3) Let \sim' be defined on T_Σ by

$$t_1 \sim' t_2 \text{ iff } (\mathcal{F} \cup \{\forall x \text{ left}(x) \approx \text{right}(x)\}) \models t_1 \approx t_2).$$

Describe the quotient algebra $\mathcal{T}_\Sigma / \sim'$.

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, May 21, 2014 at 11:00. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword “Homework FSV” in the subject.
- Hand it in to me (Room B225) or drop it in the box in front of Room B224.