

Exercises for “Formal Specification and Verification” Exercise sheet 3

Exercise 3.1:

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ be a signature, where $\Omega = \{f/2, g/1, a/0, b/0\}$ and $\Pi = \{p/2\}$; let X be the set of variables $\{x, y, z\}$. Which of the following expressions are terms over Σ and X , which are atoms/literals/clauses/formulae, which are neither?

- (a) $\neg p(g(a), f(x, y))$
- (b) $f(x, x) \approx x$
- (c) $p(f(x, a), x) \vee p(a, b)$
- (d) $p(\neg g(x), g(y))$
- (e) $\neg p(f(x, y))$
- (f) $p(a, b) \wedge p(x, y) \wedge y$
- (g) $\exists y(\neg p(f(y, y), y))$
- (h) $\forall x \forall y (g(p(x, y)) \approx g(x))$

Exercise 3.2:

Let $\Sigma = (S, \Omega, \Pi)$ be a many-sorted signature, where $S = \{\text{int}, \text{list}\}$, $\Omega = \{\text{cons}, \text{car}, \text{cdr}, \text{nil}, b\}$ and $\Pi = \{p\}$ with the following arities:

- $a(\text{cons}) = \text{int}, \text{list} \rightarrow \text{list}$ $a(\text{car}) = \text{list} \rightarrow \text{int}$ $a(\text{cdr}) = \text{list} \rightarrow \text{list}$
 $a(\text{nil}) = \rightarrow \text{list}$ (i.e. nil is a constant of sort list)
 $a(b) = \rightarrow \text{int}$ (i.e. b is a constant of sort int)
 $a(p) = \text{int}, \text{list}$.

Let X_{int} be the set of variables of sort int containing $\{i, j, k\}$, and let X_{list} be the set of variables of sort list containing $\{x, y, z\}$. Let $X = \{X_{\text{int}}, X_{\text{list}}\}$.

Which of the following expressions are terms over Σ and X , which are atoms/literals/clauses/formulae (in first-order logic with equality, where equality between terms of sort int is \approx_i and equality between terms of sort list is \approx_l), which are neither?

- (a) $\text{cons}(\text{cons}(b, \text{nil}), \text{nil})$
- (b) $\text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil}))$
- (c) $\neg p(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})))$
- (d) $\neg p(\text{cons}(b, \text{nil}), \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})))$

- (e) $\text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \approx_l \text{cons}(\text{cons}(x, b), \text{nil})$
- (f) $\text{cons}(i, \text{cons}(b, \text{nil})) \approx j$
- (g) $p(\neg \text{car}(x), x)$
- (h) $\neg p(\text{car}(x), x) \vee p(j, \text{cons}(j, x))$
- (i) $\neg p(b, x) \vee p(b, \text{cons}(b, x)) \vee b$
- (j) $\forall i : \text{int}, \forall x : \text{list} (\text{cons}(\text{car}(x), \text{cdr}(x)) \approx_l x)$
- (k) $\exists i : \text{int}, \forall y : \text{list} (\text{cons}(b, p(x, y)) \approx_l \text{cdr}(y))$

Exercise 3.3:

Compute the results of the following substitutions:

- (a) $f(g(x), x)[g(a)/x]$
- (b) $p(f(y, x), g(x))[x/y]$
- (c) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), g(y)))[x/y]$
- (d) $\forall y(p(f(y, x), x))[y/x]$
- (e) $\forall y(p(f(z, g(y)), g(x)) \vee \exists z(g(z) \approx y))[g(b)/z]$
- (f) $\exists y(f(x, y) \approx x \rightarrow \forall x(f(x, y) \approx x))[g(y)/y, g(z)/x]$

Exercise 3.4:

Let $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$, where $\Omega = \{0/0, s/1, +/2\}$ and $\Pi = \emptyset$ (i.e. the only predicate symbol is \approx). Consider the following formulae in the signature Σ :

1. $F_1 = \forall x (x + 0 \approx x)$
2. $F_2 = \forall x, y (x + s(y) \approx s(x + y))$
3. $F_3 = \forall x, y (x + y \approx y + x)$.

Find a Σ -structure in which F_1 and F_2 are valid but F_3 is not.

Exercise 3.5:

$\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$ with $\Omega = \{b/0, f/1\}$ and $\Pi = \{p/1\}$.

- (1) How many different Herbrand interpretations over Σ exist? Explain briefly.
- (2) Consider the formula $F := p(f(f(b))) \wedge \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow p(f(x)))$. How many different Herbrand models over Σ does the formula F have? Explain briefly.
- (3) Every Herbrand interpretation which is a model of F is also a model of $G := \forall x p(f(f(x)))$. Give an example of an algebra that is a model of F but not of G .
- (4) Let \mathcal{A} be a Herbrand interpretation over Σ and let \sim be the binary relation on T_Σ defined by:

$$t_1 \sim t_2 \text{ iff } \forall x (f(f(f(x))) = x) \models t_1 \approx t_2.$$

- Is \sim a congruence relation on \mathcal{A} ?
- Describe the quotient structure \mathcal{A}/\sim .
- Describe the class $\{\mathcal{A}/\sim \mid \mathcal{A} \text{ Herbrand interpretation over } \Sigma\}$.

Exercise 3.6:

Consider the following specification of binary trees (in a variant of the CASL syntax)

spec BinTree =
sort elem, tree
operations $a : \rightarrow \text{elem}$
empty $: \rightarrow \text{tree}$
leaf : elem $\rightarrow \text{tree}$
make : tree, tree $\rightarrow \text{tree}$
right : tree $\rightarrow \text{tree}$
left : tree $\rightarrow \text{tree}$
Axioms: $\forall x_1, x_2 : \text{tree}, \forall e : \text{elem}$:

- right(empty) \approx empty
- right(leaf(e)) \approx empty
- left(empty) \approx empty
- left(leaf(e)) \approx empty
- left(make(x_1, x_2)) $\approx x_1$
- right(make(x_1, x_2)) $\approx x_2$

(1) Let \mathcal{F} be the set of axioms in the specification above. Which of the following hold?

(1a) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{left}(\text{make}(\text{empty}, \text{empty})) \approx \text{empty}$

(1b) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{make}(x_1, x_2) = \text{empty}$

(1c) $\mathcal{F} \models (x_2 \approx \text{empty} \wedge x_3 \approx \text{make}(x_1, \text{empty})) \rightarrow \text{make}(\text{left}(\text{make}(x_1, x_2)), \text{right}(\text{leaf}(e))) \approx x_3$

(1d) $\mathcal{F} \models \text{make}(x_1, \text{make}(x_2, x_3)) = x_2$

(2) Let \sim be defined on T_Σ by:

$$t_1 \sim t_2 \text{ iff } \mathcal{F} \models t_1 \approx t_2.$$

Describe the quotient algebra \mathcal{T}_Σ/\sim .

(3) Let \sim' be defined on T_Σ by

$$t_1 \sim' t_2 \text{ iff } (\mathcal{F} \cup \{\forall x \text{ left}(x) \approx \text{right}(x)\}) \models t_1 \approx t_2.$$

Describe the quotient algebra \mathcal{T}_Σ/\sim' .

Please submit your solution until Wednesday, November 31, 2016 at 12:00. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to sofronie@uni-koblenz.de with the keyword “Homework FSV” in the subject.
- Hand it in to me (Room B225) or drop it in the box in front of Room B224.