

### Exercises for “Non-Classical Logics”

#### Exercise sheet 5

The following exercises refer to the many-valued logic  $\mathcal{L}_3$ . Let  $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$  be a first-order signature, let  $M = \{0, u, 1\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of connectives of  $\mathcal{L}_3$ , and  $\mathcal{Q} = \{\forall, \exists\}$ .

#### Exercise 5.1: (3 P)

Use the semantic tableau calculus for the many-valued logic  $\mathcal{L}_3$  to prove that the following formula is an  $\mathcal{L}_3$  tautology:

$$\neg(\exists x(p(x) \wedge q(x))) \text{ id } \forall x(\neg(p(x) \wedge q(x))).$$

(*Hint:* To avoid the problem of having to use the definition of the operator id the truth table of id can be used for devising suitable expansion rules for the tableau calculus.)

#### Exercise 5.2: (4 P)

Use the optimized translation to clause form described in the course for computing the CNF for the following signed formulae:

- (a)  $\{0\}:(P \supset Q)$
- (b)  $\{u\}:(P \supset Q)$
- (c)  $\{1\}:(P \supset Q)$
- (d)  $\{0, 1\}:(\sim (P \Rightarrow Q))$

#### Exercise 5.3: (3 P)

Check the unsatisfiability of the set  $\{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$  of signed clauses by using the signed propositional resolution calculus.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= P^0 \\ C_2 &= Q^0 \vee Q^u \vee P^1 \\ C_3 &= Q^1 \vee R^u \\ C_4 &= R^0 \vee R^1 \end{aligned}$$

(here  $P^v$  and  $\{v\}:P$  are different notations for the same signed literal; similarly for  $Q$  and  $R$ ).

Please submit your solution until Tuesday, December 4, 2012, 14:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de) with “Homework Non-Classical Logics” in subject.
- Put your solution in the box close to the printer in Room B 222.