

Non-classical logics

Lecture 11: Infinitely-valued logics (Part 2)

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

sofronie@uni-koblenz.de

Last time:

Łukasiewicz logics

$$\mathcal{L}_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad W_n = \{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{2}{n-1}, \dots, 1\}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}_0} \quad W_{\mathbb{N}_0} = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{N}_1} \quad W_{\mathbb{N}_1} = [0, 1]$$

Logical operations: $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \Rightarrow$

- $\vee = \max$
- $\wedge = \min$
- $\neg x = 1 - x$
- $x \Rightarrow y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$

Łukasiewicz logics

Theorems.

1. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t. $(m - 1) | (n - 1)$, we have
 $\text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq \text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_m)$
2. $\text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_{\aleph_0}) = \text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_{\aleph_1})$
3. $\text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_{\aleph_0}) = \bigcap \{ \text{Tautologies}(\mathcal{L}_n) \mid n \geq 2, n \in \mathbb{N} \}$

“Fuzzy” logics

$$W = [0, 1]$$

t-norm:

$f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that:

- f associative and commutative
- for all $0 \leq A \leq B \leq 1$ and all $0 \leq C \leq 1$ we have $f(A, C) \leq f(B, C)$
- for all $0 \leq C \leq 1$ we have $f(C, 1) = C$.

Gödel t-norm $f_G(x, y) = \min(x, y)$

Łukasiewicz t-norm $f_L(x, y) = \max(0, x + y - 1)$

Product t-norm $f_P(x, y) = x \cdot y$

Left-continuous t-norm

Definition. A t-norm f is **left-continuous** if for every $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $0 \leq x_n \leq x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n, y) = f(x, y)$.

The following t-norms are left continuous:

Gödel t-norm $f_G(x, y) = \min(x, y)$

Łukasiewicz t-norm $f_L(x, y) = \max(0, x + y - 1)$

Product t-norm $f_P(x, y) = x \cdot y$

Left continuous t-norms

With every left continuous t-norm f we can associate the following operations:

- $x \circ_f y = f(x, y)$
- $x \oplus_f y = 1 - f(1 - x, 1 - y)$
- $x \Rightarrow_f y = \max\{z \mid f(x, z) \leq y\}$
- $\neg_f x = x \Rightarrow_f 0$

Łukasiewicz t-norm

$$x \circ_{\perp} y = \max(0, x + y - 1)$$

$$x \wedge_{\perp} y = x \circ_{\perp} (x \Rightarrow y)$$

$$x \oplus_{\perp} y = 1 - \max(0, 1 - x - y)$$

$$x \vee_{\perp} y = \neg_{\perp} ((\neg_{\perp} x) \wedge_{\perp} (\neg_{\perp} y))$$

$$x \Rightarrow_f y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$$

$$\neg x = \min(1, 1 - x) = 1 - x$$

Left continuous t-norms

With every left continuous t-norm f we can associate the following operations:

- $x \circ_f y = f(x, y)$
- $x \oplus_f y = 1 - f(1 - x, 1 - y)$
- $x \Rightarrow_f y = \max\{z \mid f(x, z) \leq y\}$
- $\neg_f x = x \Rightarrow_f 0$

Gödel t-norm

$$x \circ_G y = \min(x, y)$$

$$x \oplus_G y = \max(x, y)$$

$$x \Rightarrow_G y = \max\{z \mid x \wedge z \leq y\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ y & \text{if } x > y \end{cases}$$

$$\neg_G x = \max\{z \mid x \wedge z = 0\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Checking validity of formulae in fuzzy logics

Given: F formula in a t-norm based fuzzy logic formed with the operations $\{\circ, \oplus, \neg, \Rightarrow\}$ (and also \vee, \wedge if definable)

Task: Check whether F is valid (a tautology)
i.e. whether for all $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{A}(F) = 1$

Idea:

Assume that there exists $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\mathcal{A}(F) \neq 1$.
Derive a contradiction.

Let P_1, \dots, P_n be the propositional variables which occur in F .

Check whether $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n F(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 1$ is satisfiable in $\mathcal{A} = ([0, 1], \{\circ_f, \oplus_f, \neg_f, \rightarrow_f, \leftrightarrow_f\})$.

Example 1: Łukasiewicz logic $\mathbf{t} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1}$

F \mathcal{F} -formula, where $\mathcal{F} = \{\vee, \wedge, \circ, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$.

Let P_1, \dots, P_n be the propositional variables which occur in F .

Check whether $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n F(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 1$ is satisfiable in

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbf{t}} = ([0, 1], \{\vee, \wedge, \circ, \neg, \rightarrow\})$$

where $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ are the operations induced by the t-norm

$f_{\mathbf{t}}(x, y) = \max(0, x + y - 1)$, i.e.:

(Def $_{\circ_{\mathbf{t}}}$)	$x + y < 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = 0$	$x + y \geq 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = x + y - 1$
(Def $_{\vee}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def $_{\wedge}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def $_{\Rightarrow_{\mathbf{t}}}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1 - x + y$
(Def $_{\neg_{\mathbf{t}}}$)	$\neg x = 1 - x$	

Example 1: Łukasiewicz logic $\mathbf{t} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1}$

F \mathcal{F} -formula, where $\mathcal{F} = \{\vee, \wedge, \circ, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$.

Remark: The following are equivalent:

- (1) $F(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 1$ is satisfiable in $[0, 1]_{\mathbf{t}} = ([0, 1], \{\vee, \wedge, \circ, \neg, \rightarrow\})$,
where $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ are the operations induced by the t-norm $f_{\mathbf{t}}$
- (2) $\text{Def}_{\mathbf{t}} \wedge F(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 1$ satisfiable in $[0, 1]$.

$$(\text{Def}_{\circ_{\mathbf{t}}}) \quad x+y < 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = 0$$

$$x+y \geq 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = x+y-1$$

$$(\text{Def}_{\vee}) \quad x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$$

$$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$$

$$(\text{Def}_{\wedge}) \quad x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$$

$$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$$

$$(\text{Def}_{\Rightarrow_{\mathbf{t}}}) \quad x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$$

$$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1-x+y$$

$$(\text{Def}_{\neg_{\mathbf{t}}}) \quad \neg x = 1 - x$$

Example

To show: $((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y)$ is a tautology

New task: $\text{Def}_{\perp} \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y)}_{G_1} \neq 1$ unsatisfiable

<i>where</i>	(Def $_{\vee}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
	(Def $_{\wedge}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
	(Def $_{\circ_{\perp}}$)	$x + y < 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = 0$	$x + y \geq 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = x + y - 1$
	(Def $_{\Rightarrow_{\perp}}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1 - x + y$

Example

New task: $\text{Def}_{\perp} \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y) \neq 1}_{G_1}$ unsatisfiable

where

(Def $_{\vee}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def $_{\wedge}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def $_{o_{\perp}}$)	$x + y < 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = 0$	$x + y \geq 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = x + y - 1$
(Def $_{\Rightarrow_{\perp}}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1 - x + y$

1. Rename subterms starting with \perp -operators and expand definitions:

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
 p = x \Rightarrow 0 & s \neq 1 \\
 q = p \Rightarrow 0 & \\
 r = x \vee y & \\
 s = q \Rightarrow r &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ll}
 x \leq 0 \rightarrow x \Rightarrow 0 = 1 & x > 0 \rightarrow x \Rightarrow 0 = 1 - x + 0 \\
 p \leq 0 \rightarrow p \Rightarrow 0 = 1 & p > 0 \rightarrow p \Rightarrow 0 = 1 - p + 0 \\
 q \leq r \rightarrow q \Rightarrow r = 1 & q > r \rightarrow q \Rightarrow r = 1 - q + r \\
 x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y & x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x
 \end{array}$$

Example

New task: $\text{Def}_{\perp} \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y) \neq 1}_{G_1}$ unsatisfiable

where

(Def $_{\vee}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def $_{\wedge}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def $_{\circ_{\perp}}$)	$x + y < 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = 0$	$x + y \geq 1 \rightarrow x \circ y = x + y - 1$
(Def $_{\Rightarrow_{\perp}}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1 - x + y$

2. Replace terms starting with \perp -operations; SAT checking in $[0, 1]$

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
 p = x \Rightarrow 0 & s \neq 1 \\
 q = p \Rightarrow 0 & \\
 r = x \vee y & \\
 s = q \Rightarrow r &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ll}
 x \leq 0 \rightarrow p = 1 & x > 0 \rightarrow p = 1 - x + 0 \\
 p \leq 0 \rightarrow q = 1 & p > 0 \rightarrow q = 1 - p + 0 \\
 q \leq r \rightarrow s = 1 & q > r \rightarrow s = 1 - q + r \\
 x \leq y \rightarrow r = y & x > y \rightarrow r = x
 \end{array}$$

Reduction to checking constraints over $[0, 1]$

Reduction to checking satisfiability in $[0, 1]$ of constraints in linear arithmetic (implications of LA expressions).

NP complete [Sonntag'85]

Similar techniques can be used also for Gödel logics (with the Gödel t-norm).

This method was first described (in a slightly more general context) in:

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans and Carsten Ihlemann,

"Automated reasoning in some local extensions of ordered structures."

Proceedings of ISMVL'07, IEEE Press, paper 1, 2007.

and (with full proofs) in

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans and Carsten Ihlemann,

"Automated reasoning in some local extensions of ordered structures."

Journal of Multiple-Valued Logics and Soft Computing

(Special issue dedicated to ISMVL'07) 13 (4-6), 397-414, 2007.

Example 1: Gödel logic

F \mathcal{F} -formula, where $\mathcal{F} = \{\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$.

Let P_1, \dots, P_n be the propositional variables which occur in F .

Check whether $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n F(x_1, \dots, x_m) \neq 1$ is satisfiable in

$$[0, 1]_G = ([0, 1], \{\vee, \wedge, \circ, \neg, \rightarrow\})$$

where $\vee, \wedge, \neg, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$ are the operations induced by the t-norm $f_G(x, y) = \min(x, y)$, i.e.:

(Def $_{\circ}$) = (Def $_{\wedge}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def $_{\vee}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def $_{\Rightarrow}$)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = y$
(Def $_{\neg}$)	$x = 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 0$

Example

Check whether $((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y)$ is a tautology in the Gödel logic.

New task: $\text{Def}_G \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y)}_{G_1} \neq 1$ satisfiable?

where

$(\text{Def}_\circ) = (\text{Def}_\wedge)$	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def_\vee)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def_\Rightarrow)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = y$
(Def_\neg)	$x = 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 0$

Example

New task: $\text{Def}_G \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y) \neq 1}_{G_1}$ satisfiable?

where

$(\text{Def}_\circ) = (\text{Def}_\wedge)$	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
(Def_\vee)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
(Def_\Rightarrow)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = y$
(Def_\neg)	$x = 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 0$

1. Rename subterms starting with \mathbb{L} -operators and expand definitions:

$p = x \Rightarrow 0$		$s \neq 1$	$x \leq 0 \rightarrow x \Rightarrow 0 = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow x \Rightarrow 0 = 0$
$q = p \Rightarrow 0$		$p \leq 0 \rightarrow p \Rightarrow 0 = 1$	$p > 0 \rightarrow p \Rightarrow 0 = 0$	
$r = x \vee y$		$q \leq r \rightarrow q \Rightarrow r = 1$	$q > r \rightarrow q \Rightarrow r = r$	
$s = q \Rightarrow r$		$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$	

Example

New task: $\text{Def}_G \wedge \underbrace{((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y) \neq 1}_{G_1}$ satisfiable?

where

(Def_\circ)	(Def_\wedge)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \wedge y = x$	$x > y \rightarrow x \wedge y = y$
	(Def_\vee)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \vee y = y$	$x > y \rightarrow x \vee y = x$
	(Def_\Rightarrow)	$x \leq y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = 1$	$x > y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow y = y$
	(Def_\neg)	$x = 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow \neg x = 0$

2. Replace terms starting with $\mathbf{\neq}$ -operations; SAT checking in $[0, 1]$

$p = x \Rightarrow 0$	$s \neq 1$	$x \leq 0 \rightarrow p = 1$	$x > 0 \rightarrow p = 0$
$q = p \Rightarrow 0$		$p \leq 0 \rightarrow q = 1$	$p > 0 \rightarrow q = 0$
$r = x \vee y$		$q \leq r \rightarrow s = 1$	$q > r \rightarrow s = r$
$s = q \Rightarrow r$		$x \leq y \rightarrow r = y$	$x > y \rightarrow r = x$

Satisfiable (e.g. by $\beta(x)=\beta(y)=\frac{1}{2}$), so $((x \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow 0) \Rightarrow (x \vee y) \neq 1$ not tautology in Gödel logic.

Product logic

Similar techniques can be used also for the product logic
(with the product t-norm)

↳ non-linearity (hence higher complexity)