

### Exercises for “Non-Classical Logics”

#### Exercise sheet 11

##### Exercise 11.1: (2 P)

Compute the translation into first order logic used for checking the validity of a modal formula  $\Phi$  (of the form  $\exists x P_{\neg\Phi}(x) \wedge \text{Rename}(\neg\Phi)$ ) for the following formulae:

- (1)  $\Phi_1 : \Diamond(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (\Diamond P \wedge \Diamond Q)$
- (2)  $\Phi_3 : ((\Box\Diamond P \wedge \Diamond P) \rightarrow \Diamond\Box P)$

##### Exercise 11.2: (4 P)

Consider the formula  $F = \Box Q \vee Q$ . Check the satisfiability of the formula using the following steps:

- Construct the set of clauses  $N$  corresponding to  $\exists x P_F(x) \wedge \text{Rename}(F)$
- Use the ordered resolution with selection calculus  $\text{Res}_S^>$  introduced in the lecture for checking the satisfiability of  $N$ .

##### Supplementary exercises

(to be discussed in the next exercise class)

##### Exercise 11.3: (10 P)

Let  $F$  be a formula in propositional modal logic,  $F'$  a subformula of  $F$ , and  $F''$  another formula.

$F'$  has positive polarity in  $F$  if it occurs under an even number of negations (we think of  $A \rightarrow B$  as  $\neg A \vee B$ ). Otherwise,  $F'$  has negative polarity in  $F$ .

Prove:

- (1) Assume  $F'$  has positive polarity in  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = (S, R, I)$ .  
If  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[F']$  and for all  $t \in S$  we have  $(\mathcal{K}, t) \models (F' \rightarrow F'')$  then  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[F'']$ .
- (2) Assume  $F'$  has negative polarity in  $F$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = (S, R, I)$ .  
If  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[F']$  and for all  $t \in S$  we have  $(\mathcal{K}, t) \models (F'' \rightarrow F')$  then  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[F'']$ .

##### Exercise 11.4: (5 P)

Let  $F$  be a formula in propositional modal logic, and  $F'$  a subformula of  $F$ . Let  $P$  be a new propositional variable, not occurring in  $F$ .

(3) Assume  $F'$  has positive polarity in  $F$ .

Then  $F[F']$  is satisfiable iff there exists a Kripke model  $\mathcal{K} = (S, R, I)$  and  $s \in S$  such that  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[P]$  and for every state  $t \in S$  we have  $(\mathcal{K}, t) \models (P \rightarrow F')$ .

(4) Assume  $F'$  has negative polarity in  $F$ .

Then  $F[F']$  is satisfiable iff there exists a Kripke model  $\mathcal{K} = (S, R, I)$  and  $s \in S$  such that  $(\mathcal{K}, s) \models F[P]$  and for every state  $t \in S$  we have  $(\mathcal{K}, t) \models (F' \rightarrow P)$ .

Please submit your solution until Monday, February 2, 2015, at 18:00. Joint solutions prepared by up to three persons are allowed. Please do not forget to write your name(s) on your solution.

Submission possibilities:

- By e-mail to [sofronie@uni-koblenz.de](mailto:sofronie@uni-koblenz.de) with the keyword “Homework Non-Classical Logics” in the subject.
- Put it in the box in front of Room B 222.