# **Advanced Topics in Theoretical Computer Science**

Part 3: Recursive Functions (4)

22.12.2021

Viorica Sofronie-Stokkermans

Universität Koblenz-Landau

e-mail: sofronie@uni-koblenz.de

## **Contents**

- Recapitulation: Turing machines and Turing computability
- Register machines (LOOP, WHILE, GOTO)
- Recursive functions
- The Church-Turing Thesis
- Computability and (Un-)decidability
- Complexity
- ullet Other computation models: e.g. Büchi Automata,  $\lambda$ -calculus

## 3. Recursive functions

- Introduction/Motivation
- Primitive recursive functions

$$\mapsto \mathcal{P}$$

- P = LOOP
- $\bullet$   $\mu$ -recursive functions

$$\mapsto F_{\mu}$$

- $F_{\mu} = \mathsf{WHILE}$
- Summary

## Now

- Introduction/Motivation
- Primitive recursive functions

$$\mapsto \mathcal{P}$$

- P = LOOP
- $\bullet$   $\mu$ -recursive functions

$$\mapsto F_{\mu}$$

- ullet  $F_{\mu}=\mathsf{WHILE}$
- Summary

### **Definition** ( $\mu$ **Operator**)

$$f(\mathbf{n}) = \mu i(g(\mathbf{n}, i) = 0) =$$
  $\begin{cases} i_0 & \text{if } g(\mathbf{n}, i_0) = 0 \\ & \text{and for all } 0 \leq j < i_0 \\ & g(\mathbf{n}, j) \text{ defined and } \neq 0 \end{cases}$  undefined otherwise

The smallest i such that  $g(\mathbf{n}, i) = 0$  (undefined if no such i exists or when g is undefined before taking the value 0)

### **Notation:**

$$f(\mathbf{n}) = \mu i(g(\mathbf{n}, i) = 0)$$

... without arguments:

$$f = \mu g$$

- Atomic functions: The functions
  - Null 0
  - Successor +1
  - Projection  $\pi_i^k$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Composition: The functions obtained by composition from  $\mu$ recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Primitive recursion: The functions obtained by primitive recursion from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- $\mu$  Operator: The functions obtained by applying the  $\mu$  operator from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.

- Atomic functions: The functions
  - Null 0
  - Successor +1
  - Projection  $\pi_i^k$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Composition: The functions obtained by composition from  $\mu$ recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Primitive recursion: The functions obtained by primitive recursion from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- $\mu$  Operator: The functions obtained by applying the  $\mu$  operator from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.

- Atomic functions: The functions
  - Null 0
  - Successor +1
  - Projection  $\pi_i^k$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Composition: The functions obtained by composition from  $\mu$ recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Primitive recursion: The functions obtained by primitive recursion from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- $\mu$  Operator: The functions obtained by applying the  $\mu$  operator from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.

- Atomic functions: The functions
  - Null 0
  - Successor +1
  - Projection  $\pi_i^k$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Composition: The functions obtained by composition from  $\mu$ recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- **Primitive recursion:** The functions obtained by primitive recursion from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- $\mu$  Operator: The functions obtained by applying the  $\mu$  operator from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.

- Atomic functions: The functions
  - Null 0
  - Successor +1
  - Projection  $\pi_i^k$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- Composition: The functions obtained by composition from  $\mu$ recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- **Primitive recursion:** The functions obtained by primitive recursion from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.
- $\mu$  Operator: The functions obtained by applying the  $\mu$  operator from  $\mu$ -recursive functions are  $\mu$ -recursive.

### **Notation:**

```
F_{\mu} = Set of all total \mu-recursive functions F_{\mu}^{\mathrm{part}} = Set of all \mu-recursive functions (total and partial)
```

**Theorem.**  $F_{\mu} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}$  and  $F_{\mu}^{\mathsf{part}} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}^{\mathsf{part}}$ 

**Theorem.**  $F_{\mu}\subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}$  and  $F_{\mu}^{\mathsf{part}}\subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}^{\mathsf{part}}$ 

### Proof (Idea)

We already proved that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathsf{LOOP} \subset \mathsf{WHILE}$ .

It remains to show that the  $\mu$  operator can be "implemented" as a WHILE program.

**Theorem.**  $F_{\mu} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}$  and  $F_{\mu}^{\mathsf{part}} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}^{\mathsf{part}}$ 

Proof (Idea) We already proved that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathsf{LOOP} \subset \mathsf{WHILE}$ .

It remains to show that the  $\mu$  operator can be "implemented" as a WHILE program (below: informal notation)

```
i := 0; while g(\mathbf{n}, i) \neq 0 do i := i + 1 end
```

**Theorem.**  $F_{\mu} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}$  and  $F_{\mu}^{\mathsf{part}} \subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}^{\mathsf{part}}$ 

Proof (Idea) We already proved that  $\mathcal{P} = \mathsf{LOOP} \subset \mathsf{WHILE}$ .

It remains to show that the  $\mu$  operator can be "implemented" as a WHILE program (below: informal notation)

```
i := 0;
while g(\mathbf{n}, i) \neq 0 do i := i + 1 end
```

It can happen that the  $\mu$  operator is applied to a partial function:

- $g(\mathbf{n}, j)$  might be undefined for some j before a value i is found for which  $g(\mathbf{n}, i) = 0$
- $g(\mathbf{n}, i)$  is defined for all i but is never 0.

The  $\mu$  operator is defined s.t. in such cases it behaves exactly like the while program.

### **Question:**

Are there  $\mu$ -recursive functions which are not primitive recursive?

## **Ackermann Funktion**

### Wilhelm Ackermann (1896–1962)

- Mathematician and logician
- PhD advisor: D. Hilbert
   Co-author of Hilbert's Book:
   "Grundzüge der Theoretischen Logik"
- Mathematics teacher, Lüdenscheid



**Definition:** Ackermann function A

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$
  
 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$   $Ack(x) = A(x,x)$   
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$ 

**Definition:** Ackermann function A

$$A(0,y) = y+1$$
  
 $A(x+1,0) = A(x,1)$   $Ack(x) = A(x,x)$   
 $A(x+1,y+1) = A(x,A(x+1,y))$ 

x y	0	1	2	3	4	 n
0	0+1=1	1+1=2	2+1=3	3+1=4	4+1=5	n+1
1	A(0, 1)=2	A(0, A(1, 0))=3	A(0, A(1, 1))=4	A(0, A(1, 2))=5	A(0, A(1, 3))=6	n+2
2	A(1, 1)=3	A(1, A(2, 0))=5	A(1, A(2, 1))=7	A(1, A(2, 2))=9	A(1, A(2, 3))=11	2 <i>n</i> +3
3	A(2, 1)=5	A(2, A(3, 0))=13	A(2, A(3, 1))=29	A(2, A(3, 2))=61	A(2, A(3, 3))=125	$2^{n+3}-3$
4	A(3, 1)	A(3, A(4, 0))	A(3, A(4, 1))	A(3, A(4, 2))	A(3, A(4, 3))	$2^{2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot 2^2} - 3$
	$= 2^{2^2} - 3$ $= 13$	$=2^{2^{2}}-3$ $=65533$	$=2^{2^{2^2}}-3$	$=2^{2^{2^{2^{2}}}}$	$= 2^{2^{65536}} -3$	n+3

### **Theorem.** The Ackermann function is:

- total
- $\bullet$   $\mu$ -recursive
- not primitive recursive

**Theorem.** The Ackermann function is:

- total
- $\mu$ -recursive
- not primitive recursive

Proof: The Ackermann function is total. (In every recursion step one of the arguments is smaller.)

We show that Ack is  $\mu$ -recursive. Idea of proof:

Ack is TM-computable: We can store the recursion stack on the tape of a TM.

We will show that  $F_{\mu}=$  WHILE and that TM  $\subseteq F_{\mu}$ From this it will follow that Ack is  $\mu$ -recursive.

#### **Theorem.** The Ackermann function is:

- total
- $\bullet$   $\mu$ -recursive
- not primitive recursive

Proof: Ack is not primitive recursive. Idea of proof:

For a primitive recursive function f, the depth of function unwind needed to compute f(n) is the same for all n. But Ack cannot be computed with constant unwind depth. (The detailed proof is complicated.)

#### **Theorem.** The Ackermann function is:

- total
- $\bullet$   $\mu$ -recursive
- not primitive recursive

Proof: Ack is not primitive recursive. Idea of proof:

For a primitive recursive function f, the depth of function unwind needed to compute f(n) is the same for all n. But Ack cannot be computed with constant unwind depth. (The detailed proof is complicated.)

Alternative proof: We can show that the Ackermann function grows faster than all p.r. functions. (Proof by structural induction)

## 3. Recursive functions

- Introduction/Motivation
- Primitive recursive functions

$$\mapsto \mathcal{P}$$

- $\mathcal{P} = LOOP$
- $\mu$ -recursive functions

$$\mapsto F_{\mu}$$

- $F_{\mu} = \mathsf{WHILE}$
- Summary

## 3. Recursive functions

- Introduction/Motivation
- Primitive recursive functions

$$\mapsto \mathcal{P}$$

- $\mathcal{P} = LOOP$
- ullet  $\mu$ -recursive functions

$$\mapsto F_{\mu}$$

- $F_{\mu} = \mathsf{WHILE}$
- Summary

## **Overview**

#### We know that:

- LOOP  $\subseteq$  WHILE = GOTO  $\subseteq$  TM
- WHILE = GOTO  $\subsetneq$  WHILE<sup>part</sup> = GOTO<sup>part</sup>  $\subseteq$  TM<sup>part</sup>
- LOOP ≠ TM

### In this section we proved:

- LOOP =  $\mathcal{P}$
- ullet  $F_{\mu}\subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}$  and  $F_{\mu}^{\mathsf{part}}\subseteq \mathsf{WHILE}^{\mathsf{part}}$

#### Still to show:

- TM  $\subseteq F_{\mu}$
- TM $^{\mathsf{part}} \subseteq F^{\mathsf{part}}_{\mu}$

## TM revisited

### (1) Gödelisation of Turing machines

We can associate with every TM

$$M = (K, \Sigma, \delta, s)$$

a unique Gödel number

$$\langle M \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$$

such that

- the coding function (computing  $\langle M \rangle$  from M)
- the decoding function (computing the components of M from  $\langle M \rangle$ ) are primitive recursive

## TM revisited

### (2) Gödelisation of configurations of Turing machines

We can associate with every configuration of a given TM

$$C: q, w_{\underline{a}u}$$

a unique Gödel number

$$\langle C \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$$

such that

- the coding function (computing  $\langle C \rangle$  from the components of the configuration C)
- the decoding function (computing the components of C from  $\langle C \rangle$ ) are primitive recursive

### The Simulation Lemma

## Lemma (Simulation Lemma)

There exists a primitive recursive function

$$f_U:\mathbb{N}^3\to\mathbb{N}$$

such that for every Turing machine M the following hold: If  $C_0, \ldots, C_t$  are configurations of M (where  $t \geq 0$ ) with

$$C_i \vdash_M C_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i < t)$$

then:

$$f_U(\langle M \rangle, \langle C_0 \rangle, t) = \langle C_t \rangle$$

### The Simulation Lemma

### Proof. (Idea)

- The coding/decoding functions for TM and configurations are primitive recursive
- Every single step of a TM is primitive recursive
- A given number t of steps in a TM is primitive recursive

Therefore,  $f_U$  is primitive recursive.

(Detailed, constructive proof in which the functions are explicitly given: 4 pages in [Erk, Priese])

# TM computable functions are $\mu$ -recursive

**Theorem** Every TM computable function is  $\mu$ -recursive.

$$\mathsf{TM} \subseteq F_\mu$$
 and  $\mathsf{TM}^\mathsf{part} \subseteq F_\mu^\mathsf{part}$ 

#### Proof (Sketch)

Let  $f: \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$  be a TM computable function. Let M be a TM which computes f.

$$f(n_1,\ldots,n_k) = n_{k+1} \text{ iff } s, \# \underbrace{|\ldots|}_{n_1} \# \ldots \# \underbrace{|\ldots|}_{n_k} \# \underbrace{|\ldots|}_{n_{k+1}} \#$$

Hence:  $f(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = (f_U(\langle M \rangle, start, \mu i((f_U(\langle M \rangle, start, i))_{State} = \langle h \rangle))_w$ , where:

•  $start = \left\langle s, \# \lfloor \ldots \rfloor \# \ldots \# \rfloor \right\rangle$ 

• 
$$start = \left\langle s, \# \left\lfloor \ldots \right\rfloor \# \ldots \# \left\lfloor \ldots \right\rfloor \# \right\rangle$$

- $\langle h \rangle$  is the Gödelisation of the end state
- (·)<sub>State</sub> is the decoding of the state of a configuration
  (·)<sub>w</sub> is the decoding of the word left to the writing head

 $\mu i(g(\mathbf{n},i)=h(\mathbf{n},i))$  is an abbreviation for  $\mu i((g(\mathbf{n},i)-h(\mathbf{n},i))+(h(\mathbf{n},i)-g(\mathbf{n},i))=0)$ (smallest i for which  $g(\mathbf{n}, i) = h(\mathbf{n}, i)$ )

## **Kleene Normal Form**

### **Corollary (Kleene Normal Form)**

For every  $\mu\text{-recursive}$  function f there are primitive recursive functions g , h such that

$$f(\mathbf{n}) = g(\mu i(h(\mathbf{n}) = 0))$$

so  $f = g \circ \mu h$ .

# Consequence

$$F_{\mu}=\mathsf{TM}=\mathsf{WHILE}$$

# **Summary**

### Classes of computable functions:

- LOOP =  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathsf{WHILE} = \mathsf{GOTO} = \mathsf{TM} = F_{\mu}$
- ullet WHILE<sup>part</sup> = GOTO<sup>part</sup> = TM<sup>part</sup> =  $F_{\mu}^{part}$
- LOOP  $\neq$  TM

### **Contents**

- Recapitulation: Turing machines and Turing computability
- Register machines (LOOP, WHILE, GOTO)
- Recursive functions
- The Church-Turing Thesis
- Computability and (Un-)decidability
- Complexity
- ullet Other computation models: e.g. Büchi Automata,  $\lambda$ -calculus

## **Contents**

- Recapitulation: Turing machines and Turing computability
- Register machines (LOOP, WHILE, GOTO)
- Recursive functions
- The Church-Turing Thesis
- Computability and (Un-)decidability
- Complexity
- ullet Other computation models: e.g. Büchi Automata,  $\lambda$ -calculus

# The Church-Turing Thesis

**Informally:** The functions which are intuitively computable are exactly the functions which are Turing computable.

# The Church-Turing Thesis

**Informally:** The functions which are intuitively computable are exactly the functions which are Turing computable.

Instances of this thesis: all known models of computation

- Turing machines
- Recursive functions
- $\lambda$ -functions
- all known programming languages (imperative, functional, logic)

provide the same notion of computability

## **Alonzo Church**

### **Alonzo Church** (1903-1995)

- studied in Princeton; PhD in Princeton
- Postdoc in Göttingen
- Professor: Princeton and UCLA
- Layed the foundations of theoretical computer science (e.g. introduced the  $\lambda$ -calculus)
- One of the most important computer scientists



### **Alonzo Church**

#### **PhD Students:**

- Peter Andrews: automated reasoning
- Martin Davis: Davis-Putnam procedure (automated reasoning)
- Leon Henkin: (Standard) proof of completeness of predicate logic
- Stephen Kleene: Regular expressions
- Dana Scott: Denotational Semantics, Automata theory
- Raymond Smullyan: Tableau calculi
- Alan Turing: Turing machines, Undecidability of the halting problem
- ... and many others

## **Next time**

- Recapitulation: Turing machines and Turing computability
- Recursive functions
- Register machines (LOOP, WHILE, GOTO)
- The Church-Turing Thesis
- Computability and (Un-)decidability
- Complexity
- Other computation models