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Abstract

In this paper it is argued that different kinds of reengineering technologies can be based on querying. Several reengineering technologies are presented as being integrated into a technically oriented reengineering taxonomy. The usefulness of querying is pointed out with respect to these reengineering technologies.

To impose querying as a base technology in reengineering examples are given with respect to the EER/GRAL approach to conceptual modeling and implementation. This approach is presented together with GReQL as its query part. The different reengineering technologies are finally reviewed in the context of the GReQL query facility.

1. Introduction

Reengineering may be viewed as any activity that either improves the understanding of a software or else improves the software itself [2].

According to this view software reengineering can be "partitioned" into two kinds of activities. The first kind of activities is concerned with understanding such as source code retrieval, browsing, or measuring. The second kind of activities aims at evolutionary aspects like redocumentation, restructuring and remodularization. We will refer to the former kind of activities as understanding and to the latter as renovation in the following. Understanding and renovation refer to both, whole software systems and single programs or source code fragments.

Both of the two classes of reengineering activities may be further subdivided into several types of reengineering techniques as shown in figure 1. Understanding covers base technologies like browsing, measurement, and cross referencing, as well as advanced technologies like slicing, object recovery or design recovery. Accordingly, renovation technology can be subdivided into remodularization, restructuring, redocumentation, data reengineering and so on. This subdivision of reengineering technology is not necessarily disjoint. In particular understanding techniques provide the basis for renovation tasks.

**Figure 1. A reengineering taxonomy**

In the following it will be argued that understanding as well as renovation technology to a large extent can be based on querying source code representations. This does not cause any conflict with the fact that querying is conventionally used in interactive program understanding. We will refer to this interactive program understanding technique as interrogation whereas the terms query or querying are used to denote the underlying base technology. The need for interrogation tools has e.g. been reported by Biggerstaff in terms of a "conceptual grep" [3]. Prakash and Paul also noticed that there is a need for an interactive query facility [37]. Querying not only lets a user interactively retrieve
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a source code representation. It can also be used within most of the above-mentioned reengineering tasks. This is witnessed by a lot of work that has been performed in the software reengineering domain.

In order to convey our message this paper is organized as follows. The next section identifies uses of queries in understanding and renovation. Our query tool approach is presented in section 3 within a common framework. The use of this query approach in reengineering is outlined in section 4. Here the use of querying in program understanding and software renovation is described. The paper ends with a conclusion.

2. Querying and reengineering technology

Querying constitutes a base technology which can be efficiently used in most reengineering applications.

As said before interactive program understanding is the conventional application domain for query tools. Many interrogation approaches have been proposed while being based on different conceptual modeling techniques, data structures and analysis mechanisms.

Paul and Prakash have proposed a Source Code Algebra (SCA) as a basis for querying abstract syntax tree like program representations [37]. The SCA query evaluator is embedded in the ESCAPE prototype query system which is based on an object-oriented database source code repository. An object-oriented database is also used as part of the Refine toolset [39] to represent source code information. Here, syntax-tree representations can be queried (and transformed) using program specification and pattern matching capabilities. Jarzabek has proposed a Prolog-based static program analyzer (SPA) which is based on the program query language PQL [26]. ASTLOG [11] also has defined a Prolog-based query language which is intended for analyzing abstract syntax tree representations. Within the OMEGA experimental system [32] a relational model of a Pascal-like language called "Model" has been implemented. QUEL is used as query language. The C information abstraction system (CIA) [7] also uses a relational database to store extracted information. Information is retrieved using the INGRES query language. An information extractor for the C++ programming language is also available [22].

Besides the use of queries in interrogation the other reengineering technologies are often also based on query facilities.

Browsing can be used to explore connections between related parts of a system and multiple system views [8]. If browsing is driven by a conceptual model every navigation step may be viewed as a query with respect to the object currently in focus. So it can be straightforwardly determined which path can be followed from a certain object. Browsing may be additionally integrated with query in that an entry point for a browsing session can calculated by a query.

In software measurement one attempts to map certain characteristics of software systems onto numerical values. Many kinds of metrics have so far been proposed addressing different types of software characteristics [25]. From a query point of view a metric an aggregate function that counts occurrences of certain software artifacts, takes average values, calculates quotients, and so on. A query-based approach used for software measurement has e.g. been proposed by Mendelzon and Sametinger [34] who use the Hy+ system together with the underlying Graphlog visual query language [10] to investigate object-oriented systems.

Cross referencing refers to finding out relationships between the components of a software system. In this context one is in particular interested in call and use relationships. Cross references may be straightforwardly established using queries that relate two types of objects in a certain way.

Slicing as originally introduced by Weiser [44] was based on iterative solution of dataflow equations. Newer approaches operate on dependence graph representations (e.g. [24]). Especially in this graph-based context slicing may be supported by queries, i.e. a query may be used to identify a subgraph that corresponds to a slice with respect to a given vertex in a control flow based representation.

Object recovery, aims at synthesizing objects from procedural code. A lot of work has been investigated in this reengineering technology. Object recovery is normally used to migrate procedural systems into object-oriented, e.g. to transform procedural COBOL II into OO-COBOL [20]. Because an object normally is a kind of regular repository substructure it may also be identified by queries. The same thing holds if a design has to be recovered from a system. In design recovery, higher abstractions of a system are generated, normally using domain knowledge or external information. Such external information can be provided by so called clichés e.g. in form of graph patterns [46].

As with program understanding techniques, software renovation techniques may be also based on query mechanisms. In particular, the analysis components of renovation technology are candidates for query technology. Moreover, also synthesis resp. transformational components may also utilize queries.

Restructuring normally refers to changing the source code control structure in order to make a software easier to understand and easier to change [1]. Although this reengineering technology is rather old [4] it is today still needed, e.g. in maintenance of legacy COBOL [43]. Because restructuring like control flow normalization includes a significant analysis share query technology may help a lot here.

In remodularization one attempts to change the module structure of a system according to common criteria like information hiding [36]. This reengineering technology is mostly based on cluster analysis [40] [45]. Queries may
be used here in several ways. In particular the analysis of mavericks can be performed using queries.

**Redocumentation** means creating or updating information about the source code of a subject system [2]. Redocumentation originally concerned the embedding of comments [23]. Nowadays designs or specifications have also to be considered. Redocumentation can also be essentially supported by queries. Strictly speaking any information that can be queried from a software can be annotated as a comment.

In order to show how reengineering technology may be supported by querying we will give some query examples in section 4.

### 3. The query approach to reengineering

In the following we will introduce our approach to querying as being embedded into a common framework.

The query approach shall be introduced along with the three step framework to source code that has been introduced by Tilley [42]. This approach proposes model, extract and abstract as the characteristic phases in source code analysis. **Modeling** refers to constructing a model of an application domain using conceptual modeling techniques [5]. **Extraction** means gathering data from the subject system using an appropriate extraction mechanism and abstraction refers to creating abstractions from these data that facilitate the actual reengineering task to be performed.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2. The three step approach to source code analysis**

As a consequence to this three step approach a query facility has to come along with an adequate **formal basis**. This has to cover all phases of the source code analysis process in figure 2 in a **consistent and seamless** manner. So extraction should be done according to a conceptual model defined in the modeling phase and the abstraction facility, i.e. the query engine has to work on a repository structure defined by the model. A formal basis is also important if a query facility shall be extended or if it has to be embedded into other applications. In addition a query facility has to be **powerful** enough to support a given task. E.g. if it is required to pursue indirect function calls, a language has to allow the closure of the call relationship to be calculated efficiently.

### 3.1 The EER/GRAL approach

In the following the query approach that has been used in the **GUPRO** project [16] will be sketched. This approach provides a seamless and consistent framework for querying source code representations.

**In GUPRO modeling** is enabled by the definition of graph classes. Graphs constitute a vivid formal mathematical model as well as an efficient data structure with time-tested algorithms providing a seamless approach to modeling and implementation [18][19]. Classes of graphs are specified using extended entity-relationship (EER) diagrams [6] that can be annotated by additional constraints in the $\mathcal{Z}$-like **GRAL** specification language [21]. Such **EER/GRAL** models are used to specify the underlying graph data structure. This consists of a rather general kind of graphs, called **TGraphs** [15]. These are directed, typed, attributed, and ordered. Entity types in the model refer to vertex types of a **TGraph** while relationship types refer to edge types. There is support for attribute structures as well as for advanced modeling concepts like generalization and aggregation. The semantics of the EER models is formally defined by specifying the class of graphs that suit to a given EER model [13]. The graph data structures are stored in the **GraLab** graph repository [14].

An example of an EER model is presented in figure 3. Here a fraction of the abstract syntax of the C programming language is shown. The complete declaration part is omitted due to its size and complexity.1

The **extraction** of source code information into the **GraLab** graph repository is enabled by parsers that for the most part are generated using the **PDL** parser generator [12]. **PDL** extends the Yacc parser generator [27] by EBNF syntax and by notational support for compiling textual languages into **TGraphs**.

In **GUPRO abstraction** is gained using the **GReQL** query language [28], which seamlessly suits the overall approach. Within the **GUPRO** project a generic toolset for program understanding has been developed. This can be parameterized by a specification of the actual maintenance problem, i.e. an **EER/GRAL** conceptual model, in order to derive concrete program understanding tool instances. An instance of the **GUPRO** toolset has been especially tailored to the multi-language software environment of a German insurance company [31]. This toolset provides the maintenance engineer with query and browsing facilities that can be used to explore cross references between the job con-
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1 In the EER dialect used vertex types are represented by rectangles, edge types are represented by (directed) arcs. Generalization is depicted by the usual triangle notation but also by graphically nesting object types. Within both notations an abstract generalization is symbolized by hatching. Aggregation is depicted by a rhomb at the vertex type rectangle. Relationship cardinalities are given by an arrow notation at the participating vertex types.
trol languages, programming languages, and database languages.

Within GUPRO the extract-transform-rewrite ETR approach [17] represents a conceptual framework for software renovation that allows source codes to be consistently changed on a schema level. Within the prototype implementation form-oriented manual changes have been explored. Support for arbitrary automatic changes as they are needed in complex renovation tasks are possible too.

The results of the GUPRO project are related to general reengineering technology in section 4. Both, understanding an renovation techniques are based on the GReQL query facility which shall now be introduced.

### 3.2 The GReQL query language

In order to retrieve information about software systems the TGraph repository is analyzed using the GReQL (GUPRO Repository Query Language) query language.

GReQL is an expression language that is especially suited to querying graph structures. Predicates in GReQL can be formulated using first order logic. Predicates may also contain path expressions to describe regular path structures, i.e., sequences, alternatives and iterations (including transitive and reflexive closures) of paths in the repository. Path expressions can be used to collect sets of objects that can be reached via a specific kind of path from a designated object as well as to test whether a path exists between two objects.

The most important language element in GReQL is the FWR expression (FWR = FROM-WITH-REPORT). Within the FROM part the variables to be used in a query are declared by specifying their name and type. In the WITH clause the set of possible variable assignments is restricted to those specified by a predicate. The expressions specified in the REPORT part of a query are calculated and returned by the query. Because FWR expressions return values, i.e., FWR expressions may be nested.

A simple example of a GReQL query is shown in fig-
ure 4. Within the outer FROM part a variable a with type A is introduced. The outer WITH part restricts the possible assignments to a to those objects with value 42 for attribute x. The REPORT part specifies the name attribute of a to be considered together with the result of an inner FWR expression. This introduces a second variable b of type B which is restricted to those objects being related to a by a possibly empty sequence of edges of type C and a single edge of type D in opposite direction. The name attribute of each such object b is reported.

```
FROM a : V{A}
WITH a.x = 42
REPORT a.name,
    FROM b : V{B}
    WITH b -->{C}* <--{D} a
    REPORT b.name
END
```

Figure 4. A simple GReQL example

A query in GReQL is evaluated by an EVAL/APPLY mechanism using an automaton-driven strategy for calculating path expressions efficiently (with respect to repository content). Queries can be statically optimized [38].

3.3 Types of interfaces

The GReQL query language is accessible through different kinds of interfaces each providing a certain level of comfort and functionality. According to Codd [9] three types of query interfaces may in general be distinguished. A low-level programming language interface that is used by professionals, e.g. to write application programs that operate on the data, or, in the current context, are embedded into other reengineering techniques. A programming language interface normally provides the most expressive power together with the lowest level of comfort. The second type of interface is a high-level, stand-alone query interface. This is normally used by technical or semi-technical users for ad-hoc retrieving the data. Non-technical users are in general confronted with additional user-friendly interfaces. These include form- or screen-oriented interfaces as well as natural language front ends.

In the context of GReQL there is support for each of these interface types. A programming language interface to GReQL (referred to as InlineGReQL) is available as an appropriate C++ class. InlineGReQL can be used by any program. A stand-alone query facility is available with the GUPRO query user interface. This provides the user with textual editing facilities and with support for loading and saving of queries and query results. The query user interface supports the GReQL query language to its full extent.

A user-friendly interface to the GReQL query facilities comes along with MeGGI (Menu Guided GReQL Interface) [41]. MeGGI is a query interface that lets the user click his or her queries guided by schema information. The user is enabled to specify paths in the repository, logical combinations, aggregate functions, output options and simple constraints.

4. Applications for queries in reengineering

In the following it shall be shown how reengineering technology is supported by querying within our approach. Therefore some query examples for understanding and renovation techniques mentioned in section 2 are given as GReQL queries to the GUPRO repository. Furthermore it is shown how the extraction step of the query framework in figure 2 is supported by queries.

4.1 The use of queries in understanding

As pointed out in section 2 query mechanisms can be a useful support in understanding technology.

In GUPRO interrogation is enabled by a query user interface as well as by a user friendly interface as described in section 3.3.

In browsing query technology can be straightforwardly used to determine paths and goals for navigation. A hypertext-like browsing component has been developed as part of the GUPRO project [16]. This interacts with the query user interface such that the results of an interrogation are used as an entry point for browsing. So interrogation results can be viewed in terms of source code and they can be used as the basis for further investigations.

As said before in software measurement certain characteristics of a software are aggregated to numerical values. As part of the participation in the source code analysis engineering demonstration project [47] a large set of the metrics has been implemented for the C programming language [30] by applying GReQL queries to the repository.

As an example the number of decisions [33] shall now be introduced as a rather simple metrics. With respect to the C programming language (cf. figure 3) this can be expressed by the query given in figure 5.

```
cnt { FROM i : V{IterationStatement}
    REPORT i END } +
cnt { FROM s : V{SelectionStatement}
    REPORT s END } +
cnt { FROM c : V{ConditionStatement}
    REPORT c END }
```

Figure 5. Calculating the number of decisions
In this query the cnt aggregate function is used to count the relevant objects in the repository. The arithmetic operators + is used to calculate the intended result.

Cross references are of major importance in the understanding of programs and systems. In the context of the C instance of the GUPRO toolset (cf. figure 3) e.g. indirect calls can be queried as shown in figure 6.

```sql
FROM caller, callee : V
WITH caller
  {
    ---{isFunctionIdentifierIn}
    ---{isCompoundStmtIn}
    ---{isStmtIn}*
    ---{isExprIn}*
    ---{isFunctionNameIn}
  }
  callee
REPORT caller.name AS Caller,
        callee.name AS Callee
END
```

**Figure 6. Determining indirect call relationships**

Here the relationship between a caller object and a callee object is established by the path expression in the WITH clause. Because indirect calls have to be considered as well, the whole path expression is iterated.

Other program understanding technologies like slicing, object recovery, or design recovery may based on the same query mechanisms. An adequate backend has to be provided for visualizing resp. saving the referring query result. Some serious effort has already been undertaken in basing slicing on query technology. In this context queries are used to infer additional edges resulting in a program dependence graph (PDG). Based on a PDG representation queries can again be used to calculate slices.

```sql
FROM v, w : V
WITH v.linenum = 1249 AND
    v <---{PDG}* w
REPORT w
END
```

**Figure 7. Computing a backward slice**

In figure 7 a backward slice is computed for the statement or expression in line 1249 in that all vertices in the PDG representation from that the corresponding vertex can be reached are reported.

4.2 The use of queries in renovation

Software renovation has been introduced as improving a software system in order to increase its quality, understandability and maintainability. Restructuring, remodularization, and redocumentation have been presented as renovation technologies.

Within our approach the renovation aspect of reengineering is represented by the extract-transform-rewrite cycle [17]. A source document is parsed into its internal graph representation. An extract operation on this representation is performed. The extract information can be transformed automatically or by form-based textual editing. A modified extract structure is integrated with the original source in a rewrite step. A final unparsing step yields a source code document that reflects the change(s) performed. In particular extracting but also transformation and rewriting are essentially based on GReQL queries. The ETR cycle has been implemented as a prototype for the C programming language.

To illustrate the use of queries in the context of the ETR approach the form-based renaming of identifiers is used as an example. Again we refer to the conceptual model in figure 3. The query in figure 8 may be used to extract the identifiers that are locally defined in a function named printHeaderLabels. The path expression of that query starts with the object representing the referring function. It collects all identifier objects that are defined in a declaration that belongs to the function block or a block nested in this.

```sql
FROM f : V
WITH f <---{isFunctionIdentifierIn} i AND
    i.name = 'printHeaderLabels' AND
    f <---{isCompoundStmtIn}
    ---{isStmtIn}*
    ---{isDeclarationIn}
    ---{isDeclarationIdentifierIn} j
REPORT j
END
```

**Figure 8. Extraction of local identifiers**

If an identifier shall be renamed then it has to be ensured that no identifiers of the same name exist within the same scope and name space. Also no identifier from an outer scope must be overwritten if it is used in the same or an inner scope. This second condition may be checked using the query in figure 9 which collects all identifier objects that may cause a referring rename conflict. The query is strongly simplified by the use of inferred edges that relate identifier objects, scopes and name spaces. These inferred edges have been defined by queries [35].

A conflicting object has to belong to an outer scope, it has to belong to the same name space, it has to be used in the same or an inner scope, and it has to have the same name.

There is evidence that other renovation techniques as remodularization, restructuring, redocumentation that have not been implemented so far can also be supported by
queries.

4.3 The use of queries in extraction

As soon as a reengineering technology is confronted with multiple languages or multiple files or systems the parsing strategy has to include some support for integration. If a repository is filled incrementally or if it has to be updated with new source code versions local updates become necessary. Within an update the referring components have to be identified and removed first. It has to be guaranteed that no other components are affected by a removal. Now the newly parsed component has to be integrated into the repository. Such an integration is normally based on some kind of anchoring objects. Additionally the relationships to the components in the repository are inferred from the existing information. Within our approach this general parsing strategy is essentially based on queries to the repository [29].

In order to motivate an integration example the model from figure 3 shall now be extended with embedded SQL as depicted in figure 10. Here an SQL statement is modeled as a subtype of a C statement. It refers to some DB2 table via the usesTable relationship.

If multiple C sources are to be parsed into the repository it has to be ensured that the objects of type Db2Table which refer to the same DB2 table are merged into each other. In parsing this can be described using the merge rule in figure 11.

Starting with a designated anchor object anchor of a newly parsed C source this query collects all Db2Table objects contained in the newly parsed graph and reports all other Db2Table objects from the repository having the same name. In an integration step these have to be merged in pairs.

5. Conclusion

In this paper we worked out the usefulness and importance of querying in reengineering technology. In this context a general reengineering taxonomy has been presented that subdivides existing reengineering technology into understanding technology and renovation technology. We tried to identify the query aspects of the existing reengineering technology from these two branches.

Our general approach to graph-based conceptual modeling and implementation has been presented together with GReQL as the accompanying query facility. The application of GReQL within reengineering technology has been shown with respect to the understanding branch as well as with respect to the renovation branch.
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